

1		STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
2	7	AMPA DIVISION
3	KEY CLUB ASSOCIATES, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,	}
4	et al.,	
5	Plaintiffs,) Case No. 91-1573-Civ-T-17B
6	VS.	
7	EDWIN H. BIRON, et al	1., {
8	Defendants.	
9	DEPOSITION	OF CARLETON M. STEWART
10		PLAINTIPFS HEREIN
11		
12	BEFORE:	DEBRA L. FINEHOUT, RPR-CM Court Reporter Notary Public
13		State of Plorida at Large
14	PLACE:	240 Pineapple Avenue S Sarasota, Florida
15	DATE:	Tuesday, February 25, 1992
16	DAIN	Commencing at 2:00 p.m.
17	APPEARANCES:	JIM D. SYPRETT, Esquire & DONALD J. HARRELL, Esquire
18		Syprett, Meshad, Resnick & Lieb
19		1390 Main Street, Suite 1100
20		Sarasota, Florida 34236 Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs
21		STEVEN J. CHASE, Esquire
22		240 Pineapple Avenue South
23		Sarasota, Florida 34236 Appearing on behalf of the Defendant Town of Longboat Key
24		
25		APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

JOHN P. HARLLEE, III, Esquire APPEARANCES: Harllee & Porges 1205 Manatee Avenue West Bradenton, Plorida Appearing on behalf of the Defendants Biron, et al. Also Present: Mr. Shane Eagan, Mr. Tom Rasmussen

1	INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION BY: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECR	<u> </u>
3	Mr. Syprett: 4 114 Mr. Harllee: 106	
Ą	mr. marilee:	
5		
6	EXHIBITS MARKED FOR I.D.	PAGE
7	Exhibit 57 - a one-page document	17 20
8	Exhibit 58 - a two-page document Exhibit 59 - a one-page memorandum dated 10-23-89	79 86
9	Exhibit 60 - a one-page document	00
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	WHEREUPON,
2	CARLETON M. STEWART
3	was adduced as the deponent herein, and after being
4	duly sworn was questioned and stated as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. SYPRETT:
7	Q Would you state your name, please, sir?
8	A Carleton Merrill Stewart.
9	Q Where do you live, sir?
10	A 3581 Bayou Circle, Longboat Key.
11	Q Where is that located on the key, sir?
12	A In the Harbourside area of the PD.
13	Q How long have you lived there?
14	A Almost 11 years.
15	Q You were a town commissioner during what
16	period, sir?
17	A 1984, March to 1990, six years, to March.
18	Q And you held what seat, what district?
19	A At large.
20	Q Who replaced you on the commission?
21	A Right now I don't remember who did. Was it
22	Al Green?
23	Q Wolverton?
24	A No, no. It may have gone I don't know if
25	it was Al Green. It might have been.

Q Al Green sat at large and Woody Wolverton is an at large seat.

A Well, I think it's Al Green. I can't swear to it but I think it was Al Green.

Q Why did you not run for re-election in '90?

A I tried for several years to put a limit -get a limitation through for three terms of two years
each was enough. I failed to get it through but I
lived up to it myself.

Q If you would, sir, start with your high school level of education and just tell me what your educational background is?

A High school, San Mateo, California.

Stanford University for three years and I skipped my senior year and went to the Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration and in 1943 I got a degree there, a graduate degree of industrial administrator.

IA it's called, in 1943. After the war in 1947, June, I went back for one semester and received an MBA.

- Q That was in what year, sir?
- A In '47.

- Q What was an industrial administrator degree?
- A The school was closing and they gave SEMESTERS everyone who had finished three years that degree signed by the president of Harvard University. Now,

what was it. It was three year and a half of Harvard 1 Business School. Some of the fellows didn't come 2 back. They either got killed or something happened to 3 them during the war. 4 Just briefly outline for me your adult 5 0 employment career, what you've been engaged in? 6 29 years with Citibank. 7 A Retiring when? 8 0 It's 1947 plus 29 years, whatever that is. 9 A 10 Q 176? 11 A Right, yeah. When you retired in '76 where did you go? 12 Q That was at the age of 55. Then I became 13 A 14 chairman of the board and chief executive officer of the American Security Bank in Washington DC for five 15 16 vears. 17 Where were you located with Citibank when you retired, what city? 18 19 A London. Had you moved around a number of different 20 O 21 places? 22 A Yes, many. 23 And your position with Citibank when you 0 24 retired was what?

25

A

The title was senior vice president but then

the job was senior officer for the U.K. and northern 1 2 Europe. And your job duties and responsibilities 3 were essentially what, sir? 4 Supervising all of the Citibank's activities 5 A in that area. 6 Is there a logical way to break down a bank 7 8 officer's job between the business management aspect of banking versus say the lending aspect of it or did 9 you --10 I did both. 11 A -- Involve yourself in both? 12 My credit limit was the highest outside of 13 the United States. I could make loans on my own of 14 15 \$125,000,000.00 at that time but I was also the business supervisor and everything else. 16 17 Okay. How long were you with American Security Bank in DC? 1.8

A Five years.

19

20

- Q In what capacity with them?
- 21 A Chairman of the board and chief executive 22 officer, CEO.
 - O You retired there in '81?
- A '80. I think it was '80, '81, whatever it comes to.

1	Q And when you retired from that job?
2	A I came to Longboat Rey, right.
3	Q Had you maintained a residence on Longboat
4	Key before moving here?
5	A No.
6	Q You became a permanent resident
7	A Yes.
8	Q At that time?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Did you engage in any business activities
11	after moving to Longboat Key?
12	A I was the director and then later chairman
13	of the board of the International Bank of Miami which
14	is a non-executive post.
15	Q What were your duties?
16	A In other words, I wasn't full time.
17	Q What were your duties?
18	A Well, chairing the board meetings.
19	Q You didn't have a day-to-day function?
20	A No, only occasionally when some crisis
21	erupted. Then I would stay down there for a week or
22	something but normally not, no.
23	Q Okay. While filling that position you were
24	living on Longboat Key?
25	A Yes.

```
And what period of time did that cover?
1
                Well, I have to make a little guess here but
2
3
      let's say '82 to '86.
 4
                So there was an overlap when you were
      filling that duty down there and also being a
 5
 6
      commissioner?
 7
                Yeah.
           A
 8
           0
                Would you give me your date of birth, sir?
                February 17, 1921.
 9
           A
                Social security number?
10
           0
                I don't know. 545-20-2404. I think that's
11
           A
12
      right.
              I have to call up the picture in my mind.
13
                Are you married?
           0
14
                Yes.
           A
                Your wife's name?
15
           0
16
                Kathryn, K-a-t-h-r-y-n.
           A
17
                Are you a member of the Longboat Key Club?
           0
18
                No.
           A
                Are you a golfer?
19
           0
20
                No, no longer.
           A
21
                Are you a tennis player?
           Q
22
           A
                No.
23
                Have you at any point in time been a member
           0
24
      of the Longboat Key Club since you moved to Longboat
25
      Key?
```

A No. 1 Are you a member of any local country club? 2 0 Sarasota Yacht Club but that's all, no 3 A country club. 4 You've got a boat over there? 5 0 No longer. I've sold it. 6 A 0 When you lived up north, were you ever a 7 member of any country club or golf club? Burning Tree Club, Washington DC. Q 10 0 Was that an equity club? 11 A No. 12 Was that through your employment or did you 0 go out and actively join yourself individually? 13 Well, it's a combination I suppose. 14 A It was available through your employer? 15 0 16 Well, not automatically, no. You are taken in as an individual. Only the president of the United 17 States gets into that club automatically and each 18 president except Carter has been a member. So the 19 20 company paid for my costs but you had to get in as an individual. 21 22 Okay. Were you a golfer up there? 23 Yes. A 24 MR. SYPRETT: Off the record. (A discussion was held off the record.) 25

Q Did you hold any other offices on Longboat 1 2 Key other than town commission? Prior to the town commission I was on the 3 code enforcement for over two years. 1 That was an appointed position? 5 Yes, appointed by the town commission. 6 Okay. Do you recall what prompted your 7 0 decision to run for the town commission? 8 Well, I know that a number of people called 9 me and knew I had been on the code enforcement board 10 and they were trying to get candidates and I got 11 suckered in. 12 During your tenure on the board, do you 13 recall in 1989 there came a period of time when Arvida 14 15 sent notice to the town commission that they had sold, or entered a contract for the sale of the club? 16 17 A Right. 18 Do you recall that that occurred approximately August 29, 1989 with the contract date 19 20 being August 28, 1989? I don't recall the exact dates but that 21 approximate time, yes. 22 23 Okay. Do you recall that in the summer of 1988 that Bob Wilhelm of Arvida had had a meeting with 24 the town in which he had indicated that Arvida had the 25

club for sale or it was available on the market?

A Right.

- Q At that point in time do you recollect that there was some study and work done or some conversation that transpired about what the city might do or the town might do since it had a right of first refusal in the event that that option became available?
 - A Yes.
- Q And would you tell us what you recall about the nature of those discussions at that time?

A I don't know if it was at that time or later but we had a special attorney, Van Voris. You have to tell me whether it's the right time or not but we were using him both to clarify the resolutions and to consider options, and the main thing I remember about that period is that he recommended a recreational tax district more than once and so that was one option as to how to acquire it because we were talking about means of acquiring it under the first refusal.

Q Do you recall that as a product of those efforts that summer, and that is an excellent memory because this is about, according to my recollection, when that was occurring, too.

Do you recall that the primary method for

exercising the right of first refusal or the number one most favored option was the rec. district to that point in time according to a memorandum that was the product of those discussions?

7 7

A Certainly it was my favorite but there were other things I think proposed around the same time.

Q Do you recall what any of those other things were?

refusal, which I thought was kind of silly and then the second one was -- yes, to assign it to some group that might go ahead -- in fact, Wilhelm had said that he wanted the club members to have it. I recall that, so that would be an equity situation that Wilhelm suggested. Another one was for the town to buy it by itself. I don't recall any others right now. Maybe there were others.

Q Okay. Do you recall that sometime, I think it was in 1988, it may have been very early 1989, that there was some discussion that was raised either because Arvida had taken a club on the east coast of Florida and turned it into an equity membership club, or that Bob Wilhelm said something at one meeting about the potential of Arvida turning the Longboat Key Club into equity club?

Do you recall there was a discussion that transpired as a result of that amongst commissioners and some legal opinions requested?

A I don't really remember that but it fits so I wouldn't doubt it.

Q Okay. There will be some stuff that I will get into in a few minutes in questions that I'll be asking you that indicates that during all of the events that transpired in the fall of 1989, you pulled out some of those old resolutions in which -- strike that -- not old resolutions, but old memoranda of law from either the attorneys or memos between commissioners?

A I had a file this thick at that time. (indicating)

Q Which indicated that essentially the opinions in 1988 and early 1989 was that if Arvida attempted to create an equity club, that that would violate not only the terms of the resolutions but the spirits of the resolutions?

A I remember that was my view, no doubt based on what had happened during the period.

Q Whether or not that view was shared by others, do you have any recollection?

A No, I know for sure it was not shared.

Q Just for a point of clarification. Were you
the only one that held that view or was it a view that
was distributed amongst -A No, I'm sure there was more than myself but
I don't know that we ever voted on it. So I can't say
the majority was on one side or the other but I wasn't

Q Now, with that as somewhat of a background, do you recall when it first came to your attention that Arvida had entered the contract for the sale of the club facilities to Key Club?

A No, I don't. If you've got something to show me.

MR. SYPRETT: We are going to take one of those little breaks here for just a second here.

(A recess was taken.)

Q The contract date was August 28, the notification to begin the 120-day right of first refusal, which the town held, was served on August the 29th?

A Right.

the only one, no.

Q And Hart Wurzburg had indicated that he had been in Europe during August, got back in early September and before going to Europe had had the

foresight to schedule a special workshop for September 1 the 11th, so that that was the first workshop or town 2 meeting following notification concerning the 3 4 contract? His foresight, by the way, was because 5 A that's when you start the budget business. 6 It didn't require a lot of original thought 7 8 process? 9 A Every year we had that set up a month or so 10 in advance. 11 0 Okay. He's a nice fellow, though. 12 So do you recall having any conversations or 13 Q receiving any memorandum from anyone concerning the 14 sale of the club or how the town might go about 15 16 assigning its first right of refusal before that 17 meeting? 18 I really don't recall anything about that A period. 19 20 You don't recall anything about that period? Q I mean about that point you are making, did 21 22 I get notice before that date. I really don't recall whether I did or not. I have no recollection that I 23 24 did.

25

Q

Okay.

1 (A one-page document was marked as Exhibit 57 for identification.) 2 I am going to hand you a document that I 3 will mark as Exhibit 57 and ask if you would look that 1 over for just a moment. 5 By the way, these I just happened to find. 6 A 7 The big file I dumped last year and then when I got 8 this subpoena, I went through a whole bunch of other 9 things and found these pieces. That's all. 10 (indicating) 11 Q Have you had a chance to look at Exhibit Number 57? 12 13 Yeah. A 14 First, I would like to refer you to the handwriting at the bottom and ask you if you can tell 15 16 me when you wrote this memo? Well, it says right here that I wrote it in 17 early 1988. Apparently in '89 that was my best 18 recollection. It's one of a collection. I wrote a 19 20 lot of these things to understand issues myself. And at the time you authored this you didn't 21 0 22 distribute it? 23 A No. 24 This was to yourself? 0 No, but back in 8-29-89 I was ready to give 25 A

it to all the commissioners.

Q And did you, in fact, distribute it on that date?

A Yes.

Q Did you distribute it in response to having received notice that the contract had been signed?

A I don't know.

Q If it was not that, do you recall what else might have prompted your delivery of that on that date?

A Well, we had a succession of incidents with Arvida's running the club, changing the membership application form that they had to sign every year. We also had some difficulties with their Inn-On-The-Beach hotel guests. So there was a succession of this type of thing going on for quite a long time.

Q There had not been any meetings in August; is that correct?

A We used to have them in August but I'm pretty sure by '89 we did not.

Q So if I'm understanding your answer, it's just essentially you don't know whether this was distributed in response to receiving the notice that the contract had been signed or whether it was distributed for some other reason or purpose?

A Well, I know -- no, I don't know for sure

about that but I do know we had these things going on

for more than a year.

You reference Morway Picket at the bottom.

"The legal views of Morway Picket should be given

"The legal views of Morway Picket at the bottom.

"The legal views of Morway Picket should be given careful consideration." Can you tell me how long you had known Mr. Picket and whether or not you had called upon him for opinions concerning --

A Well, he was a voting citizen that had supported my election several times and I knew of him as a land lawyer from New York. He was specializing in land laws and I don't know that I solicited but he volunteered, if you put it the other way around, his opinions like a lot of other citizens did and I was impressed with his background.

Q This particular memorandum that we're looking at that's been marked Exhibit 57, does it express your personal opinions?

A Yes, this is all mine because I had written this for myself.

Q And the personal opinions expressed in this may or may not be the opinions of other commissioners or attorneys who represent the town?

A Right.

Q It was your opinion based upon your reading

the resolutions to determine club rules to either be 2 3 appropriate or not appropriate? 1 A Yes. It was your opinion that in paragraph number 5 Q 5 where you said; "clubs not to be operated primarily 5 as commercial enterprise meant not to operate at a 7 deficit but not to operate to maximize income and 8 profit for the owner"? 9 Yes. I mean that's what I believe. 10 A Help me out on what you mean there. 11 Q 12 Well, the papers that I just gave you happen A to hit that point. Let me see if I can find it 13 somewhere in there. 14 15 This is an extra set? (indicating) 0 Yes. That's for you. I thought it was in 16 17 here. 1.8 MR. SYPRETT: Let's just go ahead and 19 mark that as Exhibit Number 58. (A two-page document was marked as 20 Exhibit 58 for identification.) 21 22 Okay. I've just marked as Exhibit Number 58 a document that has a date on it of 1-9-91 and has an 23 24 attached page to it that appears to be a letter from Mr. Kunian dated September 6, 1977 and you referenced 25

of the resolutions that the town had the right from

this memorandum in response to my question?

A Right, and it says here that "going back in history, Arvida forecast operating losses for the initial years and asked for approval for off-key memberships." This is my summary. "This was approved with the requirement the club not be primarily commercial; that is, break even or modestly profitable" and then I referred down to a star where commercial use is defined in the Longboat Key zoning code as "an activity involving the purchase and sale or exchange of goods, commodities or services carried out primarily for the purpose of gaining a profit." The resolutions, as I recall, used the term not primarily commercial.

Ω If you recall that term said not primarily commercial -- shall not primarily --

A In any case, I'm not saying I'm a lawyer but this is what I believed. Otherwise, I wouldn't have written it.

Q Okay. All I wanted to be sure of is that you were referring to the first subparagraph under Section 2.3.A which reads something to the effect that Arvida shall operate the clubs -- strike that -- the club facilities shall be operated as a private club and not primarily operated as a commercial enterprise

open to the general public. Is that the section of the resolutions?

A That's the section. I don't know if those are the right words but that's the section, yes.

- Q Exhibit 58 was your creation?
- A Yes, sir.
- O You authored it?
- A Right.
 - Q It states your opinion?
- 10 A Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Whether it's anybody else's opinions or not you don't know?
 - A Exactly.
- Q Did you rely upon Morway Picket for any of those opinions in that letter?

A He's the one that told me about this history and he didn't provide this, I don't think but somebody near him provided this old letter of 1977 to explain why the town itself didn't take the offer of Arvida to take the deed to this property. The town turned it down.

Arvida wanted so badly to get their density moved. See, there was a plat with little blocks over where the golf course is. It was supposed to be streets and they wanted it all moved over to the beach

and they offered this land to the town, I'm told and was ready to deed it over if they could get permission to move the density along the beach instead of being platted out into blocks.

O And the town said no?

A Yeah, and I put in here in the last paragraph of what you marked as an exhibit in my memo; "I presume the golf course land was not deeded to the town in order to avoid the operating responsibilities and the public purpose complications that would arise," but then I say refer to Albert Faulhaber. That's where I got it from.

Q "Mr. Morway Picket and Mr. Faulhaber could confirm or clarify"?

A Yeah.

Q They are the persons that were the source of that information?

A That background information plus the fact they gave me this letter.

Q Does Albert Faulhaber still live out there?

A I believe he still lives in -- what do they call it -- it's a big tower. I'll think of it later but it's right along the beach. It's the old building that's been two buildings. I can't remember the name right now, and Picket is dead but as far as I know,

Faulhaber is still alive.

. 9

Q So now taking you back to Exhibit 57 now where you made the statement, "not to operate at a deficit but not to operate to maximize income and profit for owner" did you have some number in mind, some percentage of profits, some return on investment or anything like that?

A No. That was discussed. We had to first try and find out what was Arvida getting and we could never get good numbers from them and the commission would never as a majority vote to require those numbers. So I did not have any, no.

Q All right. Did you formulate in your mind any sort of number that you thought was consistent with your interpretation of the resolution?

A No.

Q Did you consider that the resolution simply meant that the commercial enterprise activity should not be open to the general public and should not be the primary operation? Did you consider that that essentially was what that sentence meant rather than going to some level of profit?

A No, because the way it originated was Arvida said we are going to lose money and we would like not to lose money during this initial period. Will you

help us out. The whole thing didn't start for that.

It started to help Arvida.

Q Who told you that that was the way it originated? That was Mr. Faulhaber and Mr. Picket?

A No. I went back in the old minutes. It wasn't Wilhelm. It was his predecessor. Slater, Segal or something like that, and I found one of the minutes way back in 1976 or something like that. I spent many hours going through those old records and that's what they said was the objective.

Q All right. So you circulated this on or about August 29, 1989. Do you recall your purpose in circulating this memorandum?

A I'm sure it was a continuation of June and July. We were having a great deal of difficulty on the commission itself.

O With what?

A In trying to solve the problems which had arisen with Arvida.

Q What problems?

A And Mr. Van Voris. I mentioned it a moment ago but I'll try and repeat them, use of the club by outsiders, use by any member of the Arvida organization whether they came from New York or wherever they were from, charity events. Free tickets

given away at charity events would allow people to 1 2 come back and play any time they wanted in the calendar year. Is it your recollection --4 And on and on. 5 A 6 Is it your recollection that this discussion was going on in the summer of '89 rather than the 7 8 summer of '88 or '87? It had been going on for a couple of years. 9 MR. SYPRETT: Could you pull out Exhibit 10 11 29. Let's go to 23 first. I would like for you to look at what's been 12 0 13 marked Exhibit 23, which is a memorandum that was 14 circulated by Commissioner Brown, and ask you if you 15 recall receiving that at or about that time? Well, I don't really remember this 16 17 particular one because there were so many other ideas but I'm sure I got it and dismissed it very quickly. 18 Why would you have dismissed it very 19 0 20 quickly? My understanding of the resolutions, which 21 22 are the zoning laws and attached to the deeds to the 23 land and so on, are that these recreational facilities 24 were required by the town codes.

Arvida said; can we congregate recreational

facilities and form a club and not have to build in Harbourside and Bayou and various places separate swimming pools, tennis courts and all that. We will provide centralized recreation for all property owners.

If I'm correct, that is right and I feel I'm correct, then there is no way that those property owners and succeeding owners could be protected if you had an equity club because they would not be allowed automatically to become a member unless they were willing to pay extra and buy into it.

Arvida would not have been allowed to build and sell lots and so forth in these areas if the recreational facilities were not available to the residents, the owners of those pieces of land. I mean do I make sense? At least is it understandable what I'm saying?

Q Sure. It was your opinion it didn't matter whether it was Arvida trying to create an equity club or some not-for-profit corporation formed by a group members, an equity club was not permissible by anybody, in your opinion?

A Right, and we also received legal advice from Mr. Van Voris.

Q To that effect?

A Actually the way he worded it, he said you could have an equity club but in effect it wouldn't be one. I mean they could issue shares and all but you would have to let everybody in who wasn't even an equity member. It was kind of ridiculous.

Q Had that opinion been issued earlier that you're making reference to there or was that one of his --

A I'm sure that was later.

Q The only opinion he had rendered as it related to an equity club up to that point was when the issue was raised about the possibility of Arvida doing an equity club and his opinion at that point had been that that would violate the resolution. Do you recall that?

A I don't recall it but that's fine.

Q Now, if we go to Exhibit Number 29 for just a moment.

A I've never seen this before.

Q Did you make a telephone call to Helen and have the conversation with Helen that Helen reflects in this memorandum?

A That far back, it's hard to know. It sticks in my mind that I went by and Al Cox wasn't available and I talked to her but I think I called at the

office. I don't think it was a telephone call but I'm not sure but I think I went in and Cox wasn't there.

I would have said that -- first of all, not only a banking background but I was for six years the chairman of the investment and finance committee for the town and so I would have said, I'm sure, with this \$23,000,000.00, I would like to remind Mr. Cox that he should investigate to make sure that this money isn't drug money or there is some other problem behind it.

I do recall also that -- I don't know if Cox called me or she called me later. I wouldn't have said F.B.I. because I wouldn't know who would do it but anyway, that's probably her idea; that he had an investigation made. This was sometime later and whatever it was, it was satisfactory. There was no problem. It was a prudent source of funds.

Q Would you have made the statement to her quote; "he feels there is a possibility that black money is involved" and asked that you have Chief McCammon make an inquiry?

A It doesn't fit. It just doesn't fit. It TOWN MANAGER was to remind him of his duties as chairman of this committee. I was reminding him that he should investigate. So I wouldn't have to come to that

conclusion. Obviously not.

Q Did you have any knowledge that was unique or particular as to any of the owners of the entity that were involved in the partnership that were going to purchase this club to cause you to think that they were anything other than totally legitimate?

A Of course not, no. There had been plenty in the newspaper about laundering money. I was chairman of the International Bank of Miami and we had to report every \$10,000.00 of cash money and we would have all kinds of drug guys around checking on us.

So I was very conscious of being in Florida that there was an awful lot of money floating around that's unaccountable money, and so that was -- it was a general situation and when somebody says, I've got \$23,000,000.00 to pluck down, I said; I remind you you better investigate and make sure the source of this money and I think that, to the best of my knowledge -- I had forgotten about all of this.

Q At that point in time were you aware of the fact that most of the 23 or \$22,000,000.00 that was going to be plunked down was financing?

A No, I wasn't aware of it at all but I don't think that makes much difference.

Q You are suggesting to me that you would not

have suggested to her that it was your feeling that there's a possibility that there was black money? It would have simply been a suggestion --

A I may have said, let's make sure it isn't.

I could have said something like that.

Q Did you give her the observation that you felt since they owned hotels and an aviation company they had freedom to move things around?

A I don't recall that. I mean I really just don't recall that. I read that. I saw that.

Q Is there an implication in this memorandum that's different than what the content of your conversation would have been to her?

A Well, let me read it again.

Q I see an implication in this and I'm just trying to confirm that you didn't originate the implication that apparently came out of her typewritten rather than out of your mouth?

A Well, I suppose, yeah, if you want to try to tie it together with hotels, an aviation company, all that, that you might draw such an implication. No, that was not my intent.

It was so routine that I didn't do anything further about it until a week or two later when Cox told me everything had checked out.

1	Q Cox did come back to you?
2	A Yeah.
3	Q And tell you he had made inquiry?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did he have the inquiry made through the
6	police department?
7	A I don't know. I may have known at the time.
8	I don't recall.
9	Q Is it your belief that it would be
10	reasonably prudent to check out any prospective
11	buyers?
12	A For that amount of money coming to Longboat
13	Key, that was my feeling.
14	Q Would you feel that it would be prudent to
15	check out any prospective buyers whether they were
16	coming from someplace other than Longboat Key versus a
17	prospective buyer living on Longboat Key?
18	A No, because we had enough problems on
19	Longboat Key. We had drug people #here we caught.
20	Q Sir?
21	A We caught drug people on Longboat Key in a
22	sailboat bringing these in. I wouldn't say Longboat
23	Key people are better than anyone else.
24	Q If you had prospective purchasers for this

club, whether they were on Longboat Key or whether

they were from someplace else, it would be prudent in your opinion to check out the sources of their funds and their financial responsibility?

A As a banker, I would check customers out before I lend them 23,000,000 and so on.

Q Would you consider it to be imprudent to suggest supporting -- would you consider it to be

Q Would you consider it to be imprudent to suggest supporting -- would you consider it to be imprudent to support a group of people in the acquisition of something like this club without even knowing who they were?

A Yes, certainly. I hope I understood what you've just said. No matter who came in with that amount of money, it would be imprudent in my view for the town not to know who they were and have some kind of references. Is that what you are asking?

O That's what I asked.

A Okay.

Ω Did you have any conversations with any commissioners concerning the town exercising its right of first refusal and how they would prefer that it be exercised?

A You mean at the meetings?

MR. CHASE: What time frame?

Q Other than what is contained on the records at the various meetings in September, October,

November and December? 1 I didn't talk to commissioners about 2 anything outside of meetings. I've already been 3 charged once and I was very careful. I was absolved, 1 by the way, by the state attorney but nevertheless, 5 having had that once, I was very careful. 6 Did you have any conversations with Mr. Cox 7 Q concerning the position of other commissioners? 8 Not to my recollection. 9 10 Did you have any conversations with either Mr. Van Voris or Mr. Christiansen concerning the other 11 12 commissioners' positions? No. Anything I did with Van Voris, I tried 13 to put in writing because I really didn't see him 14 except at meetings. 15 16 Who was the primary contact on the commission with Mr. Van Voris? 17 18 That was Bud Hughes, H-u-g-h-e-s. Walter is 19 his first name. And after he left who was it? 20 0 I don't know. Brown. I think it was Chuck 21 22 Brown? MR. CHASE: Chuck Brown. 23 And after Chuck left was it Hart Wurzburg 24

25

then or do you know?

I don't. Didn't I leave at the same time as 1 A Brown? 2 3 0 I don't know. I believe so. A 4 During the fall of 1989, do you recall? 5 0 Brown was still on the commission at that 6 A 7 time. 8 Chuck Brown left the commission in April of 1892 9 10 A Okay. 11 So during the summer of 1989 --0 I wasn't the one, anyway. I know I wasn't. 12 A During the summer and fall was it Hart 13 14 Wurzburg during that time? 15 I don't know. A 16 Do you recall if it was Hart Wurzburg that made the motion to retain John Van Voris to quide the 17 18 commission through its response to this sale? 19 The minutes must show but I don't remember. 20 Did you have any conversations with Mr. Morway Picket that you can recall during September of 21 19892 22 23 I can't remember the date. I had numerous 24 conversations with him. He would come by my house and 25 bring another document.

Q Concerning this particular subject matter?

Q

- A Well, he would be giving them, yes, not the buying of it particularly but the meaning of the original resolutions, all the history of it and his legal views. This had been going on for two or three years.
- Q Did there come a point in time when you recommended that he contact Hart Wurzburg?
- A I think -- I don't remember that but I do remember that he knew Hart Wurzburg and had meetings. Hart would sometimes say something about him.
- Q Can you recall having any conversations with Morway Picket concerning his opinions as to what the town could do to exercise the right of first refusal or whether the town could prevent the sale of the golf club from Arvida to Key Club?
- A No, I don't recall ever talking to Morway on that subject. Morway's thing was for me the history and the resolutions and land laws. Now, if there is was something I've just forgotten.
- Q I would like to show you a copy of Exhibit
 Number 3, which is a letter by A. Hart Wurzburg dated
 September 27, 1989 to John Van Voris, and ask you if
 you received a copy of that letter at or about the
 time it was written?

- A I don't remember seeing this one.
- Q I'll give you a moment to read it. There was a workshop meeting.
 - A No, I've never seen this.

G

Q There was a workshop meeting on September the 11th at which it was decided to retain John Van Voris. There was an instruction given to each of the commissioners to prepare your questions, submit them to Al Cox, who in turn would give them to Scott Christiansen, who would in turn send them up to John Van Voris. On September the 12th, there was a meeting at which a vote was taken on that and that was authorized.

Then there was a meeting on September the 21st at which inquiry was made as to whether the questions had been sent and Scott Christiansen said yes, he had collected the questions and they had been sent to John Van Voris for a response. Do you recall it being set up in that fashion?

A I don't recall. Was I there? You've seen the minutes. I haven't. If I was there, I don't recall it but I mean it doesn't sound wrong but I just don't remember it.

Q Would that have been a normal or standard method of handling communication to John Van Voris?

A Not particularly because we had many different ways.

any vote being taken by the town commission to authorize the mayor to write a letter to John Van Voris to have him, or to inquire of him, quote; "it would be great if there was something we could do to delay or kill the sale of the golf course" close quote?

Was there ever a vote by the commission authorizing him to make such a request of John Van Voris?

MR. CHASE: Object to the form of the question to the extent it presumes that such a vote or authorization was necessary. You can go ahead and answer it.

Q I made no presumptions whether it was necessary or not. I just want to know if you, as a commissioner, ever voted to have a question submitted to your town attorney?

A I'm trying to go back to those details. I know there was some discussion about delaying to get more time. We were getting short of time but I don't remember whether we ever voted on that or not.

Q Well, this is 30 days into it. This is

right at the beginning.

A Well, but time was only 100 and some odd days.

Q 120 days?

A That's all we had to mobilize enough information and possibly enough money. We had bond people we were talking to. Could we finance it. So the time factor was quite short.

Q As a commissioner, did you ever vote to request an opinion from your attorney as to how you could kill the sale of the golf course between Arvida and Key Club?

A I do not recall any such thing.

Q Do you recall any such discussion at any commission meeting where it was discussed whether or not to extend authority to the mayor on September the 27th, 1989 to write such a letter requesting the town attorney to determine whether or not there was a way to kill the sale of the golf course?

A To instruct the town attorney was normally done by a recognition of consensus not by voting, I know that and I know that we talked about, is there some way that this thing doesn't have to go through so quickly but I do not know -- the word kill doesn't sound right to me.

Q Would you, as a commissioner, have felt it proper to try to kill the sale between Arvida and Key Club?

A To kill the sale by itself, the answer would be no. To make something happen that would allow the town in some fashion, whether it was a direct purchase, the right of first refusal or a recreational district, to allow the town to get it instead of Shannon. If you use kill in that sense, yes.

Q Opinions from the town attorney as to how the town could exercise its first right of refusal would be totally appropriate because the town had the right to step in with the first right of refusal? You are saying that would be totally appropriate?

A Yes.

Q What I'm talking about is calling upon the town attorney not to tell you how to exercise the first right of refusal but to tell you how you might kill the sale between those two parties whether you exercised the right of first refusal or not. Would that be appropriate?

MR. CHASE: Object to the form of the question to the extent it appears to be a mischaracterization.

MR. SYPRETT: It's not a reference to

this letter at all.

MR. CHASE: Thank you for the

I think that letter says.

clarification.
Q Would that be appropriate? Now, that's what

A I have to have some framework to give you an answer and so I have to go back in my own mind in my job as a commissioner.

Q Let me just ask you this generic question then and you tell me whether you can answer or not. As a town commissioner on behalf of a town, do you think it would be appropriate for you to write the town attorney in this situation and ask the town attorney if he could figure out a way that you could kill a contract of sale between two private parties involving a piece of property that you felt was significant to the town?

MR. CHASE: Same objection as to form being vague and ambiguous. In what context? In the context of exercising the right of first refusal or in the abstract?

MR. SYPRETT: No, sir.

MR. CHASE: The witness has said --

Q I am not talking about you taking any affirmative action on behalf of the town. I'm talking

about an act that says kill a contract, end a contract, prevent a contract of sale from occurring between two parties?

A If you are giving me a narrow question within narrow boundaries, the answer is no.

Q No what?

A I would not do that. It would not be right by itself.

Q Why would it not be right?

A But that's a very narrow thing that had no relation to reality. Reality was that the town had wanted to work on options right to the bitter end. I was there, and right to the last day we were trying to get an option. So nothing like that could be taken as a narrow thing. It had to be in the context of the entire situation.

Q I would ask you in this letter, do you see anything in the cover letter whereby A. Hart Wurzburg says on the front page, how can we exercise our right of first refusal? Do you see any request for advice for how we can exercise our right of first refusal?

A I see two things in here.

Q First answer that question for me and you can give me any observation you want.

A I see nothing written to that effect.

1 Q Thank you, sir.

- A Now, I do see two things, one the date.
- Q Yes, sir.
- A And secondly, the reference to Morway Picket.
 - Q Yes, sir.

A This was during the period when the town was exercising -- trying to exercise various options to find out what they were. We happened to have a commission at that time that could never get together and so nothing was ever done up until the very end when the time limit ran out but the commission never in a positive way was able to vote on any one of those or approve any one of them.

I was disgusted, but in that context then I say I don't see anything wrong with it but taking it as narrowly as your question was then it's wrong.

- Q Do you see anything in this cover letter that asks him to give advice as to what affirmative action for acquisition of the club facilities by the town he would recommend?
- A You are talking about a not-for-profit corporation. I presume that has something to do with what you were asking me just now.
 - Q Anything else?

A Well, letters from Morway Picket -- I haven't even read this thing but usually Morway Picket's letters were positive. They would say what could be done under the situations that you now face. Okay. In September of 1989, did you know who David L. Wallace was? In September, no. I know from that period who he was. No, before that I never heard of him. Somebody said he was a newcomer. In September of 1989, did you know there was a group of members forming what later became known as the Equity Group for purpose of creating a

not-for-profit corporation?

A Wallace -- I don't know who was behind him but Wallace called one meeting, I remember and it was held somewhere up around town hall in some room, not in town hall.

Maybe it was the Arvida building, something like that, and my neighbors asked me to go and listen to him. So I listened to him and I know I told my neighbors to forget it. He's crazy. I really said that about him because his views were so against mine and then I never saw the man again.

Q Do you recall specifically when that was?
A I would guess September, I don't know,

something like that. 1 2 Was Brad Hagerman at that meeting? A I think he was. Did Brad Hagerman ask you to attend that 4 5 meeting? A I don't recall. There were others there, too 6 from my neighborhood. He might have. I don't know. 7 Did the town commission ever authorize the 8 0 mayor to send copies of his communication with the 9 10 town's attorney to David L. Wallace? MR. CHASE: Object to form. 11 12 This you mean? (indicating) A 13 0 Yes. 14 A I never heard of any such thing. Can you based upon your experience --15 Q In fact, I never saw that myself. 16 A Based upon your experience as a 17 commissioner, would you carbon copy some private 18 19 group's personal attorney on a letter communication between yourself and the town attorney seeking advice 20 as to town action? 21 22 MR. CHASE: Object to the form of the 23 question, overly broad, vague and ambiguous. 24 It does not detail the specifcs to the 25 situation. To the extent you can answer go

ahead.

A I think this is quite common. This is a LETTERHEAD. public document. It's on Town of Longboat Key, It's in the files. The newspaper can read it. Anybody can read it.

Q Yes, sir. There's a difference between a document being public and in the files of the Town of Longboat Key and you, as a commissioner, sending a carbon copy to that entity. Do you see a distinction there or not?

A I see a distinction but I think it's done all the time. If somebody asked me to propose something and I agreed with it, you know, to build a new street or whatever it was, if I wrote a letter or a memo, I would send them a copy of it, sure.

Q Do you see that that letter is asking for advice that would benefit the group that David L. Wallace represents if he gets a positive answer?

A I suppose so if he gets a positive answer.

Q Do you see that that letter would be a detriment to the interests of Key Club if he gets a positive answer to it?

A He is asking for legal means to do something.

Q Yes, sir. To delay or kill the contract

with Key Club which is the buyer. If that were successful, would that be to the detriment of Key Club, in your opinion?

A If Key Club was unable to achieve their objectives, of course.

Q Do you think it is appropriate to send a copy of that letter to the attorney for the Equity Group and not send a copy of it to the attorneys for Key Club or to Key Club?

A I'm afraid that -- see, I was on the commission for six years and we were much more informal than you are suggesting. These sort of things happened all the time, really.

- Q You think it is then appropriate?
- A I would not have done it but --
- 16 O You would not have done it?
- 17 A Personally.
- 18 Q Why not?

A People have different ideas. That's all but I've seen this sort of thing done many times.

Q Do you think it is appropriate for the mayor of the Town of Longboat Key to give preferential treatment to one private entity over another private entity as it relates to the same subject matter?

MR. CHASE: Object to the form of the

7 question in that it presumes that there was preferential treatment given. There is no 2 3 showing that there was --1 MR. SYPRETT: I consider sending a carbon copy of the letter preferential treatment. 5 MR. CHASE: I don't consider it to be 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

preferential treatment. So we can't agree on that. So my objection still stands.

MR. HARLLEE: Object on the grounds it's been asked and answered three times in the last five minutes.

Now, would you answer the question, sir?

Listening to all this, specifically again the question?

Do you consider sending a carbor copy of this letter as preferential treatment to the party who receives it?

I'm afraid the answer is no and I'll tell you why. If Wallace had asked, what are you going to do, can I have a copy of it, it would be the normal routine thing to say sure, you can have a copy of it.

If the Shannon people had asked, it would be routine to give one. Anybody who asks for a public document, there's no problem and I wouldn't really make much out of this letter. Really as a

commissioner, I wouldn't.

- Q Do you consider it to be preferential treatment to send it to David L. Wallace and not send it to the Key Club group? Yes or no.
- A If David Wallace asked for it, of course not. I would say it's not preferential.
 - O If he did not ask for it?
 - A If he did not ask for it, it's a favor.
- Q Do you think it is appropriate for the mayor of the Town of Longboat Key to be granting favors to one private entity to the detriment of another private entity?
 - MR. CHASE: We've just changed preferential treatment to favor. It's becoming argumentative and I understand what you're trying to accomplish but I don't see where this witness's personal opinions have any bearing on anything, with all due respect to the witness.
- Q So now you can answer the question. He's made his objection.
- A Well, if I was in that position, all I can tell you is that the normal way I would operate is I would not have sent it in the first place. Now, to judge whether he's right or wrong or the other mayors

over a time were right or wrong, I don't see how I can 1 2 judge if they're right or wrong. Do you recall when you first saw this 3 A letter? 5 A Just now. It was never circulated that I know of. It wasn't in my file that I threw out last 6 7 year. Thank you. Was it normal standard operating 8 0 procedure for Mr. Cox's office to distribute letters 9 from one commissioner to the other commissioners so 10 the other commissioners would be aware of the fact 11 12 that it occurred? At his discretion. If he thought it was 13 A important or useful or needed or whatnot. 1 1 15 If he was given a direction to do it by the commissioner, he would do it? 16 17 Yeah. 18 If he wasn't given a direction, it was in 19 his discretion? 20 On mine I have had hundreds practically over A the six years where I put full distribution down in 21 22 the corner and it would automatically go to everybody. 23 And if you didn't put full distribution, it 24 may or may not?

25

A

Right.

O Do you know what Hart Murzburg's 1 relationship was with David L. Wallace? 2 No. Do you know why David L. Wallace was on his mailing list? 5 A No idea. 6 Do you know what information Hart Wurzburg 7 0 was giving to David L. Wallace through this period of 8 9 time? No, other than what you just showed me. 10 Do you know what Hart Wurzburg's 11 12 relationship was with the Equity Group? MR. CHASE: Can we define the Equity 13 14 Group? We have for other witnesses. I don't think we have for this witness as of this 15 15 point in time. 17 Are you familiar with a group that was 18 formed that assumed the name Equity Group? 19 I know from the newspaper accounts that Jim 20 Biron and others that I didn't know, never knew their 21 names of who they were. 22 Do you know what Hart Wurzburg's 23 relationship was with those members of the Equity 24 Group that you were aware of? 25 A No.

O Just as a bit of history, and I'll give it 1 2 to you so you can orient yourself. There was a meeting October the 2nd of 1989. It was a regular meeting. Bob Hulderman appeared before that meeting and advised the commission that there was a committee 5 to buy the club that was being formed that was going 6 to form a not-for-profit corporation and sell equity 7 8 memberships. Do you recall him appearing before the commission in connection with that matter? 0 10 Now that you say Hulderman, I recall him. I hadn't remembered it until you mentioned his name, Bob 11 12 Hulderman. He's a former commissioner. 13

You knew Bob Hulderman?

Yes. A

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you know what relationship, if any, existed between Hart Wurzburg and Bob Hulderman?

No. See, honestly, I really never talked to other commissioners outside about any business outside of the meeting.

Okay. Included in my question will be relationships that you observed from actions as well as the spoken word?

Okay. No, I know of known whatsoever on this score.

On Tuesday, October the 10, 1989 a special

workshop was held at which four options were presented by John Van Voris. One option was for the town do nothing. The next option was a general revenue bond which would make the courses very public. The next option was the rec. district and the next option was a declaratory judgment for assignment of the right of first refusal to an equity group?

- A The date you said?
- 0 Was October 10.
- A Okay.

- Q Bob Hulderman and Jim Biron were present at that time. Mr. Hagerman was there. What is his first name?
 - A Bob -- no, Brad, B-r-a-d.
- Q Brad Hagerman was there. Do you recall that there was a meeting that transpired where Hart Wurzburg commissioned Brad Hagerman to conduct a survey as to whether or not a special rec. district would be favored by the people --
 - A Right.
 - Q -- Who lived in the PD and GPD?
- 22 A Yes.
 - Q That was I think a direction that was given that essentially would be moving in the direction of the rec. district which is what you strongly favored?

A I remember that, yes. I remember things I 1 2 was in favor of and reject others. Do you recall at these early meetings there 3 being a suggestion about the town exercising the right 1 of first refusal and then turning around and selling 5 6 Harbourside to a private equity club? Yes, I do. 7 A And your reaction to that was what, sir? 3 0 Very much against it. Wasn't that in 9 A something? I know I was very much against it. 10 That was in that early memorandum from Jim. 11 0 12 Yeah. A 13 That's what you just read a moment ago and 0 14 you were very much against that. Why? The reason I gave before; that that would be 15 A 16 taking away the recreational facilities that were 17 intended for the property owners. And were these recreational facilities in 18 19 your view also intended for the residents of Longboat 20 Key? 21 A Up to 20 percent. 22 Or at least 20 percent? 23 Yeah. I should put it other way. If there was a gap, they could go over but the priority, 80

percent for the property owners and residents of the

24

PUDs.

Q Would you agree with me that the resolutions say that at least 20 percent of the memberships shall always be available for nonresidents of the PD or GPD?

A I wouldn't doubt that.

Q And that's what you are referring to?

A Do you know why that was put in, by the way? So many members of Country Club Shores already were there. It was a political move.

Q That was what you were referring to when you were referring to for the benefit of the residents of the town?

A Yeah.

Q Do you recall that after that presentation, the only action taken at that special workshop on 10-10 was a consensus that requested Brad Hagerman to do the survey of the residents?

A Yes.

Q Then the next thing was 10-16-89, which is the special workshop and I think you have the minutes of that meeting?

A Yes.

Q How do you happen to have the minutes of that meeting?

A I had put them in a separate file. I

mentioned this to Mr. Chase a little earlier. My 1 2 house is listed for sale. It has been for several months. So I am going through all the folders and 3 4 dumping things. I started in December and after 5 having dumped the big one, I found this in another file with some other things I had with the commission 5 and letters I had written to people and things like 7 8 that.

- Q Did you have any documents in your file that you dumped other than what are contained in the town's file?
 - A To the best of my knowledge, no.
 - Q Did you have --

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A I may have had a few memos that I wrote for myself just like I did this one that later was released.
- Q Can you tell me approximately when it was you threw your personal file out?
 - A December.
 - o of '91?
 - A Around Christmas.
- 22 Q Did you make any listing of what was 23 contained in that?
- A I just took it and dumped it in the trash.

 I kept the binder.

Did you keep any copies out of the --1 Q 2 Mo, no. A Did you check with anybody before destroying 3 0 it? 4 No. I destroyed a lot of other files, too 5 A at that time. That just happened to be one of them. 5 You have a pink lining besides the first 7 8 paragraph? Uh-huh. 0 A 10 Is there any particular reason why you did 0 11 it? 12 Should availability. This is what I have A always for several years based my standing on. 13 Now, let me ask you something right here. 14 0 What you have are copies of the special meeting that 15 16 occurred at 4:30 p.m.? 17 A Right. Did you keep a copy of the special workshop 18 Q that started at like two in the afternoon? 19 20 No. A Do you recall the events of the special 21 workshop when Brad Hagerman reported the results of 2.2 23 his survey? Yeah, they kind of pooh-poohed it. 24 25 0 Who pooh-poohed it?

The other commissioners. 1 A Hart Wurzburg? 2 0 3 He may have been one of them but I remember on the commission -- there's seven there. It's hard to remember who said what but we didn't get anywhere when he came back with it. They sort of took the 5 point of view that it wasn't a good survey. 7 And instead of relying upon his survey, do 8 you recall that Hart Wurzburg then called upon the 9 10 audience to give him their reaction? I had forgotten. Yes, I remember that now. 11 12 Do you recall who was present in the audience out there? 13 14 I think it was a big -- probably a big A 15 crowd. I don't remember who was there. 16 Do you recall that it was Biron Equity 17 Group, Hulderman, Biron, David Wallace and that group? I don't doubt it but I don't remember. 18 MR. CHASE: I'll belatedly object to the 19 20 characterization of those people in attendance 21 to be that group. I assume that there were more than just those individuals. 22 23 Do you recall having a feeling at that 24 meeting that there had been sort of a prearrangement 25 of the people who were in the audience and any sort of

preorchestration of discounting the work done by Hagerman which supported the rec. district and the vocal opposition from the people in the crowd?

A Well, I hadn't thought of it from that point of view, but I do know that in my six years anybody who was concerned on any subject felt they had every right to pick up the phone and call me or call any commissioner.

So I wouldn't doubt at all that the ones you called the group may well have called some of the commissioners. They did not call me. I guess they knew my stand. So they may well have called other commissioners and discussed it.

Q Did you review the survey that was done by Brad Hagerman?

A Yes.

Q Did you see anything wrong with it?

A No. It was a short period of time. If he had another week or two -- he brought the questions to me and I had gone over them with him because I'm a neighbor and so as a neighbor, I went over and gave them back and if he had had a little more time, it would have been better.

Q He surveyed 170 different people on Islandside?

That was pretty good in that length of time. 1 A That was more people than were present in 2 0 the audience? 3 Yes. I'm sorry. I should have said it out 4 loud. Did you have any reason to suspect the 6 accuracy of the survey that Brad Hagerman did? 7 No, but I did realize that 170 or something 8 was still a minority. It wasn't anywhere near a 9 10 majority. 11 Do you recognize that most surveys are based on surveying a minority of the subjects? 12 13 I'm sure if I could listen to the tape again or if you could listen to it, I must have said a 14 15 number of things in support of the survey in the open 16 meeting. 17 Did you have any reason to believe that the survey was conducted in any fashion weighted towards 18 just talking to people who would be in favor of the 19 rec. district versus talking to people who would be in 20 21 favor of the Equity Group? I presume there's probably a bias built into 22 23 the questions because Brad Hagerman was in favor of the rec. district. 24

But the selection to whom it was presented?

1

A

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

No, they called whoever they could reach.

Did you see any reason based upon what you 0 observed about the survey for Hart Wurzburg to totally discount it?

I would not say he totally discounted it. used the term pooh-poohed. In other words, he came up with things that he thought superseded it in importance and in that sense it was put down.

Do you recall him coming up with anything that he felt superseded it in importance other than a voice vote from the people in the audience?

Well, there was a question of financing and supposedly, with the people in the audience, what they were after, they could provide -- they were assuring the commission they could provide the financing whereas the recreational one would require the property owners in the PUD to be a guarantor of any bond issue. So I think that's sort of the thing that came out to put the survey down.

He didn't say the survey is no damn good. There were things against the recreational business. He wrapped it up into the merits of it, I would say the merits of recreational versus other alternatives.

And the merits he wrapped it around was what, sir?

That's your recollection? 2 Yeah, because we had already gotten some 3 4 kickbacks. One commissioner there at that time was Marie Dreyfus. 5 6 MR. CHASE: When you say kickbacks, I get very nervous when a public official says 7 kickbacks. 8 9 Not that kind of kickback, but she, as a commissioner, also lived near me and she was very 10 vocal at the meeting about how she didn't want to have 11 12 to guarantee any bonds. So it was that kind of a 13 discussion that went on. If you were going to go with a special 14 recreation district, you had to have a referendum; is 7 5 that correct? 16 17 Yes, just to authorize the referendum is A what we had to do. 3 5 10 0 And the two referendums you had to have, you 20 had to have one where the registered voters voted as 21 to whether or not to set up the rec. district and then 22 you had to have the property owners vote whether or 23 not to issue revenue bonds? 24 A Right. 25 0 Because they were going to have to guarantee

Finance was the biggest one, that nobody --

1

A

them?

- A Right.
- Q And that was going to require about 60 days in order to set up the referendum?
 - A I have forgotten the dates.
- Q Do you recall at that meeting that Hart
 Wurzburg had Pat Arends or someone to check when that
 could be set up and the date was determined to be
 December 5?
 - A I don't remember that, really.
- Q All right. Do you recall that the vote on that date essentially was made from the perspective that if we go with the Equity Group, we will not have time to go back and do the rec. district; if we go with the rec. district then the equity group, if the rec. district doesn't pass, may not have time to do what they want to do?
- A Again, I don't recall that but I don't deny it.
- Q Do you recall having the feeling at the time that the vote took place on October the 16th, that when the rec. district was voted down on that date in favor of seeking a declaratory judgment as to whether or not the right of first refusal could be assigned to the Equity Group, that that killed the rec. district?

Yes, that was the end of it. That was it. A 1 And was the rec. district killed at that 2 0 meeting because of the desire to go forward with the Equity Group and assignment to them? 4 MR. CHASE: In his perception? 5 Based on your perception of what happened 6 0 there? 7 Well, I'm trying to go back and I don't 8 think that's completely right. I think that --9 10 Tell me --11 There was still the alternative of doing nothing. There was still the alternative of the town 12 stepping in and buying it as a town. All those things 13 14 came up in discussion. So it wasn't -- I did not feel that it was 15 isolated on a final decision to go equity, no because 16 17 the other options were still there to do nothing. The fact is I saw this, when I found it the 18 other day when I got your subpoena, where I put 19 somewhere in here "if no referendum were held then he 20 trusted Shannon more than anyone else." That was from 21 me. Stewart. 22 23 Say that again. 0 Stewart is talking on page 3 of the notes. 24 A 25 0 Yes.

A So I did not think -- my perception at that 1 time was not that, no, that equity was in and rec. was 2 out. Rec. was out, yes but the other three were all 3 A still in. 5 issue or going with the Equity Group --7 Those three were still in. A 3 0 10 11 Not that I know of. 12 A 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. So the rec. district is out. Doing nothing, having some sort of general revenue bond

Okay. Shannon Hotel Group didn't have anything to do with the vote that was going that direction on your rec. district; did it?

At any time during the fall, did you receive an invitation to meet with the Equity Group at any location to discuss what their concepts were?

Never. I think Biron knew enough from what I had done in open meetings so I would not be included in such a group.

At the time that this vote took place on 10-16-1989 to pursue a declaratory judgment to determine whether or not the right of first refusal could be assigned to the Equity Group, did you know who the Equity Group was?

I knew of Biron. That's the only name. A

You didn't know who else formed this equity 0

group that was going to form this corporate entity?

A No.

- Q Did the other commissioners know?
- A I don't know.

Q Did anybody say anything at the meetings to indicate to you that they did know?

A No. The only thing that was ever said was the group was composed of members of the club and it bothered me that some of them probably would turn out to be off-key members not even property owners.

Q Did it raise a question in your mind as to how these commissioners could vote to get into litigation or pursue litigation to determine if you could assign the right of first refusal to this group without even knowing who composed the group?

A Well, I did not know but maybe they knew. I didn't know.

Q If they didn't know, would that surprise you or bother you?

A Well, if they were members of the club, I wouldn't be too surprised, no. They probably -- I knew one name, Biron, and it could well be from the newspaper -- and Bob Hulderman showing up. I didn't know about Bob Hulderman until he came there but it was those kind of people. When you live on Longboat

Key, it's not a big problem.

Q So, in your opinion, it wouldn't matter who the people were that were creating the equity group as long as they were members of the club?

A To get an opinion, I'm saying it wouldn't worry me. That didn't mean that was going to happen.

Q Well, the vote was for them to pursue the filing of a declaratory judgment, which meant you were going to litigation -- the town was going to litigation expense to determine if they could assign this first right of refusal to this group of people.

Now, do you think it was appropriate or inappropriate to vote to do that without even knowing who the group of people were?

Well, the record did not show who the people were. I'm assuming I'm sure at the time they were members -- a group of members of the club. That's quite a big group to have that much backing for financing and so on. I would not have worried about it. They're already screened before they live on Longboat, before they live in the PUD. Everybody is quite well screened beforehand.

0 Who does that screening?

A Well, for instance, where I live, our association. Anybody that moves in my house when I

sell it has to turn in a financial statement and have 1 bank references and all kinds of stuff or you can't 7 buy the land. 3 Was that always the rule out there? 0 4 5 A Yeah. So everybody was screened by somebody? 6 Well, the property owners are screened. 7 A Now, I believe the golf course has a different -- I 8 don't know what their screening system is but I think 9 they have a screening system. 10 The bottom line is it didn't bother you and 11 12 the other commissioners that you didn't know who this group was just as long as they were members? 13 14 A That was sufficient for that period. I really was -- I don't know that I focused on this 15 point at all. You are asking me now and I'm trying to 16 think of how I may have felt. 17

- Q You voted against doing the survey of the residents?
 - A I did and I was pissed off that I lost.
 - Q Why do you think you lost?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Well, the vote was against me. I lost a lot of times out there.
- Q There was a count that you came out on the short end of the stick. Why do you think the count

was such that you came out on the short end of the stick that day?

A Partly because I failed to convince people in the key -- I mean in the club area, Bay Isles particularly, that the guarantee they had to give for the bond wasn't dangerous at all. We figured out that on a year's payment installment that it would come -- for Mrs. Dreyfus I think it was \$350.00 or \$400.00 if she had to pay it herself.

Q Based upon the conversation that took place at this meeting, were you able to form an opinion as to whether or not the commissioners who voted in favor of the declaratory judgment action knew who the Equity Group was that was forming the not-for-profit corporation?

MR. CHASE: Jim, you asked that four or five times.

A I really don't know.

MR. SYPRETT: Let's put it this way. I am incredulous that that could be the circumstance so I continue to go back to it.

MR. CHASE: I'm incredulous about a lot of things in life.

MR. SYPRETT: I'm incredulous that a commission would vote to do something with a

group they don't know who's in it. 1 They knew where they came from. 2 Which might have been on the key or off the 3 4 key? 5 Yeah, but the majority -- as far as I know, A the majority of the members live on the key. 6 As far as you know? 7 0 Well, we used to get lists occasionally. 8 In your old banking background, would you, 9 sitting on board of directors at a bank, ever have 10 11 voted to enter a business transaction with a group of people that you didn't know, that were just described 12 as the people who live on Longboat Key? 13 MR. CHASE: Object to the form of the 14 15 question to the extent that somehow you're attempting to make an analogy between --16 MR. SYPRETT: He can answer it and 17 18 neither one of us will probably ever be able to use it but I'm curious as to how he would 19 20 have handled this as a banker. I think there's a great difference in 21 22

23

24

that money.

Q A

Q And this vote you-all took that day wasn't to get a legal opinion. It was to go to court to get a declaratory judgment from a judge?

A Did I vote for that?

Q No, you voted against it.

A Once I lose -- I was opposed to the whole thing, anyway.

Q I'm incredulous that you are so defensive of people voting in favor of doing something

A I've sat there for six years. See, that wasn't unusual.

Q Really?

A No, that would not have been unusual.

Q Do you recall receiving Exhibit Number 30, which was a letter sent by David L. Wallace to all the property owners out there?

A I have no recollection of ever seeing this and if I did get it, I would probably have thrown it in the wastebasket. I have no recollection of ever seeing it.

Q Do you recall receiving a copy of that notice of a special meeting called for October 30.

MR. HARLLEE: Is that an exhibit?

MR. SYPRETT: Exhibit Number 50.

I don't remember it. 1 A All right. Do you recall going to the 2 3 meeting of October 30, 1989 and the first motion that was made at that meeting was to rescind the action 4 5 that had been taken on the 16th and the purpose of that meeting was to deal with the subject matter of 6 7 simply assigning the right of first refusal to the 8 Equity Group rather than going to court? 9 I honestly -- if I could read the minutes, 10 that would bring it back but I don't remember that 11 that step in this progress. I would presume that if I 12 was at the meeting that I kept the same position. I hate to have to take the time for that but 13 0 14 that's an important enough meeting that you if you 1.5 can't recall it, I am going to need to let you sit and 16 read it. 17 MR. CHASE: I have it here. I believe it's a special meeting of October 30. For the 18 19 record, it's Exhibit 31. 20 Is there any page that I should look at or do you want me to read the whole thing? 21 22 (A recess was taken.) 23 Have you had the opportunity to read through 24 a portion of the minutes of that meeting?

25

A

Yeah.

- Q Does that meeting --
- A Two and a half pages, yeah.
- Ω Do those minutes bring back a recollection as to that meeting?
 - A Very faintly but yes.
- Q Do you recall that essentially the direction took a very pointed turn at that meeting?
 - A Uh-huh.

- Q What was your reaction to that meeting?
- A Well, I'm sure I was relieved to have the original motion cancelled. It had been my view all along that when we got down to actually assigning —
 I'm sure it was my view at that time that we wouldn't do so because the property owners would not be protected.

What Mr. Wallace and whoever it was was proposing was to have two kinds of members. You would have regular members and you would have equity members and they had all different things. It destroyed the reason for anybody to want to buy into the club.

In other words, if you could play and be a regular member, why would you want to buy into it and own it. It wouldn't fly, and later on, as I recall, that did come out, some period after this date, that what they were talking about satisfying everybody was

not doable. So I then was relieved to have this declaration judgment stopped. I was relieved at that.

Q Were you approached by any persons of the Equity Group before this meeting to give you any information as to what their concept was and what they intended to do and how they intended to do it?

A No. They did it all at the meetings but no, I never had any outside -- I did read it in the newspapers occasionally and I know I sent one -- I think probably a handwritten note to Jim Biron one time and told him he was off base.

Q When did you do that?

A I don't recall but it was during that period sometime. See, Jim Biron had taken a course from me. I was a power squadron instructor in advanced piloting and he was one of my students. That's how I happen to know him and so I sent him a note one day and I said; "from what I read in the Observer, you are off base trying to push this thing for members." I said this before.

Q Did you at any point in time on breaks at any of these meetings in October ever observe a conversation going on between Hart Wurzburg or any of the commission members and the Equity Group people?

A No.

Q Did you note that in these minutes that for 1 2 the first time the Equity Group people have been identified in minutes at a commission meeting; that 3 is, the group that's forming the not-for-profit 4 corporation? Did you see their names here? 5 No. Well, I didn't. Where? 6 A 7 0 Page 3. 8 MR. CHASE: I don't think he read that 9 far. I didn't get that far. 10 A All right. Was that the first time that you 11 became aware that that's who the Equity Group was? 12 I quess I was there so I must have heard 13 14 this but I didn't remember this. At any time before hearing it at that 15 16 meeting you didn't know who they were; did you? 17 A No. Do you know --18 Q 19 I knew Biron. A 20 Right, and you knew Hulderman? 0 21 Well, Hulderman, he came to the meeting. A 22 But except for Biron and Hulderman, did you 23 know anybody else? 24 Well, I know some of these people, Tac Riter, Willingham Smith, Harry Nitzberg but I didn't 25

Did you know they were part of that group? 2 No. 3 4 Do you know whether or not Hart Wurzburg knew that? 5 No, I don't know. 6 A Do you recall him making any statements at 7 the meetings that he did know that? 8 9 A No. Do you recall him making any statements at 10 the meetings that indicated to you that he had 11 knowledge essentially about how this was being 12 structured and what was going on before it was 13 14 disclosed at this meeting? I certainly don't recall anything. 15 Do you recall observing him in conversation 16 with any of these persons either before, during or 17 after the meeting? When I say during the meeting, I'm 18 talking about breaks in the midst of it. 19 20 I do not remember. I really don't, but if I A had observed it, I wouldn't even have thought anything 21 22 about it. Did anyone at any point in time give you any 23 information concerning contact between the Equity 24

know they were in that group.

1

25

Group and Hart Wurzburg?

1 A

2

3

4

5

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No.

Did you at any point in time ever learn either from Hart Wurzburg or any other person why Hart Wurzburg was so strongly in favor of this Equity Group arrangement?

MR. CHASE: Object to the form of the question and the characterization of Hart Wurzburg strongly in favor of the Equity Group. You could answer it if you can.

No. All I know is that at the meetings when we had our discussion period amongst the commissioners Wurzburg was in favor of the equity until at the end when he was in favor of the town buying it.

Which was after the vote had been taken by the commission that refused to do the assignment?

And then they refused the town to buy it, also.

Do you recall that right up to the moment of the vote on whether to assign or not to assign that Hart Wurzburg advocated the assignment should take place?

I would have to read the rest but from everything I know, he would have advocated that as one of the commissioners, yes but I don't remember specifically.

Q Did anyone ever give you, or did he ever give you any reason as to why?

A No.

Q I am going to hand you Exhibit Number 30, which was the letter sent out by David L. Wallace concerning the Equity Group, and I've highlighted on page 4 of the attachment his explanation of full equity members and limited memberships and ask you if that's the explanation of the membership problems that you felt would violate the resolutions if the Equity Group tried to go forward with this?

A I've read the highlight. Again what is your question, sir?

Q Was that what you were referring to earlier about the manner in which the existing club members would be disadvantaged by this propriety membership or this equity owned membership that in your opinion would violate the resolutions that what you were talking about earlier?

A Well, this was -- we had -- this sort of thing developed over time. I don't know at what point in time this one -- whether this was the last one they came up with but it wasn't this way always.

At one point they started out that the full equity membership and the regular membership not

limited would both have the same rights.

Then they came back and said, we've decided that we couldn't sell equity on that basis and I said, if you'll refer to the minutes, I told you that at the time and so then this was a later development, which again violates all the rules because you can't have two different classes of members.

- Q Let me ask you in simple terms, does what you have read attached to Exhibit 30 in your opinion violate the resolutions?
 - A Yes.

- Q Thank you, sir.
- A I think I had something here about that.

 (A one-page memorandum dated 10-23-89 was
- marked as Exhibit 59 for identification.)
 - Q I've just marked as Exhibit 59 your memorandum of October 23, 1989. Whose handwriting is that in the upper right-hand corner?
 - A I think it's somebody at town hall.
 - A I don't know if it was the town clerk. It's not mine but everything that is there indicates it's some member of the staff.
 - Q Can you read that well enough to tell me -- it looks like carbon copy Stew?
 - A That's me, although she spelled Stu wrong.

It should be S-t-u and Chuck Brown, and what's ATC? 1 Al Cox? Yeah, Al Cox, that's right. 3 A Okay. Why would she have a carbon copy to 4 you since you were the author of it? 5 5 It says Stu file. Doesn't that say file? A I see something that looks like f-i-l but 7 who is that? 8 Some file. I don't know what kind of a file 9 10 they have there. It sounds to me as though that were 11 to go into a file. 12 A file they keep on you? Something like that. 13 A Does this bring back to your memory the fact 14 that equity conversion had been discussed in previous 15 years and that the opinion had been arrived at that 16 equity conversion was not an allowable thing under the 17 resolution? 18 19 A Let me read it. Yeah, this was back -- yes, it was brought up previously and this was a circulated 20 letter then Mayor Fernald signed, as I recall, that 21 went to all the club members. 22 23 Advising that equity conversion would 24 violate the resolutions?

25

A

Uh-huh.

- Q And that was back in 1988?
- A Yeah, January 26.

Q I thought it was in this memo but I don't see it right now, but the members sitting on the commission October 23, 1988 were five of the same people who had been sitting on the commission back in January of 1988?

A I wouldn't doubt it. You couldn't tell from day to day what they were going to do.

Q Then the last sentence in your memorandum says quote; claims for shareholder rights such as the one above lead to the conclusion that a change in the resolutions is expected, period, close quote. What caused you to put that statement in there?

A Well, the only thing I can think of now going back and reading it again is that my point was the equity club, no matter what they said, the way the resolutions were worded, they would not be able to confirm and have an equity club and therefore, the only way it could work was to go back and change the resolutions to allow an equity club, in other words, take away the rights of the property owners that they had in the original resolutions. That's what I think this means.

Q Had any persons on the commission made any

statements to that effect in your presence?

A No.

Q Had any persons connected with the Equity
Group made any statements that they anticipated
certain commissioners would vote in favor of doing
that for their benefit?

A I don't think most people appreciated the fact that it would be necessary. This was my assertion and a lot of them didn't accept that.

Q Okay. Now, I would like to show you Hart
Wurzburg's letter to Mr. Van Voris and Mr.
Christiansen, which has been marked Exhibit Number 51.
The letter is dated October 31. I will give you a
moment to read that. That would have been the day
after the meeting at which the direction was changed
to make the assignment to the Equity Group?

A Okay.

Q Referring to this letter on the first page it says near the bottom; "understanding the options, please advise immediately what problems (conditions) you which solved for each situation."

Had the commission had at any meeting granted a consensus of opinion that the commission would be willing to amend, modify or change the resolutions in any fashion?

I don't recall that they ever did. 1 A 2 Do you know why Mayor Wurzburg was suggesting that the commission would perhaps be 3 willing to do that? 4 MR. CHASE: Where does it say --5 Yeah, I was trying to see that because I 6 don't think that's what they were doing. 7 If you would go over to the last page, the 8 final paragraph; "finally, is any changes in the town 9 ordinances or resolutions required to make this 10 transfer of the right of first refusal." 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What problems do you think they were referring to or he was referring to on the front page if he wasn't referring to changes in the resolutions?

A Well, my recollection of a -- this is a general recollection of all the discussions there. They thought they could solve all the problems and particularly the one about recreational facilities being available to property owners and residents.

They thought they could solve that legally within the resolutions and I was the one who said no, they are going to have to change it as you saw a moment ago.

- Q Who thought they could solve it?
- A About this first refusal. He's talking only

about legally how do you transfer the right of first 1 refusal. I think that's what he means here. I say 2 that based on my recollections of the discussions. 3 This letter --1 In fact, this is a new subject. 5 A This letter is directed to the attorneys? 6 0 Yeah. A 8 0 From Hart Wurzburg? And he's not an attorney. 9 A And the letter says; "understanding the 10 options, please advise immediately what problems you 77 wish solved for each situation"? 12 13 A Yeah. Who is supposed to be advised of what 14 problems are to be solved if you know from reading 15 that letter? Do you know? 16 Well, it says advise me, the town manager 17 and other town commissioners and David Wallace, the 18 19 second paragraph. 20 "Please advise me, the town commissioners and David Wallace within the next 48 hours 21 22 specifically what conditions you wish met"? Yeah, I think under these options how to 23 A

What action could the town take in order to

24

25

operate.

solve those problems, the town commission?

A If there was any violation of the resolutions, they would then supposedly prohibit it and I really think this is what he was driving at. Within the terms of the resolutions, what conditions are necessary to be in compliance. Then later on he says first refusal. Now a new subject; please also advise me about first refusal.

I've sat with Hart Wurzburg time after time and I know a little more his method of communicating, his thinking processes and I'll bet you he'll tell you — that's what he would tell you. That's my best guess.

Q On the first page he's talking about how to set up memberships and on the back pages he's talking about how to affect the transfer --

A Of the first refusal. That's what I would think about reading this.

Q Had you had any conversations at any commission meeting where the consensus of the commission had been that there was any willingness to amend town ordinances or the resolutions --

A None that I remember.

Q -- To effect a right, or to effect a transfer of the right of first refusal?

Oh, yeah, that had come -- that specific A 1 thing had come up, yes. There was nothing 2 specifically that said we had that right in the 3 resolutions. 4 So had there been a consensus of the 5 0 commission's opinion that there would be a willingness 6 to amend the resolutions to allow that to occur? 7 I don't think so. I don't recall it but A 8 9 there were questions as to whether -- even if there had been a consensus, whether it could be done. 10 11 Do you recall receiving a copy of this letter back in 1989? 12 Well, it says town commission so I probably 13 A 14 got it but I don't remember. (A one-page document was marked as 15 16 Exhibit 60 for identification.) 17 Okay. Now, I would like to hand you 18 Exhibit Number 60 and ask you if you were the author 19 of that? I sure agree with it. I surely agreed with 20 it. Yeah, I'm sure I wrote that. I don't recall how 21 22 I used it. I may have read it at a meeting. 23 There was a special meeting on December 8,

1989. Do you recall if you circulated this or read

24

25

it?

A I don't recall. I would think I probably 1 passed it out and read it both. 2 Okay. Does it express your opinions as of 3 that date? A Yes, indeed. 5 All right. At the next meeting on November 6 7 the 10th the commission voted not to assign the first right of refusal? 8 9 I have forgotten but okay. MR. HARLLEE: Can we go back? You said 10 December with regard to Exhibit 60. I think 11 12 it's November 8. 13 MR. SYPRETT: Okay. Thank you for 14 pointing that out. As it relates to Exhibit Number 60, the 15 exhibit should be referred to as a memorandum for a 16 special meeting November 8, 1989 written by C.M. 17 Stewart. Did you write that exhibit, sir? 18 19 Yes, I did. A 20 Q That did that properly express your opinions that you held on that date? 21 A 22 It certainly did. After the commission voted on November the 23 10th, and you can accept that as the date on which 24 25 this vote occurred, did you hear anymore action out of

the Equity Group thereafter? 1 2 A No. Do you know what happened to them after 3 4 that? 5 No. A Do you know whether they were still 5 Q attempting to acquire the club in any fashion? 7 I'm trying to think. The only way I would 8 is if it got out in the newspaper but I don't remember 9 10 that. 11 Do you recall being advised by anybody whether or not they had contacted Key Club direct in a 12 13 effort to acquire either one --No, I don't remember that. 14 A -- Or both of the golf courses? 15 16 I don't remember that at all, no. A Were they having any conversation that you 17 can recall before the town commission during the 18 19 period of time that the town was discussing a general revenue bond for the entire town? 20 I don't remember. 21 A 22 Do you remember that Jim Biron appeared 23 before the town commission on -- I think it was November the 23rd and advised that on behalf of the 24

people that he represented they opposed the town doing

a general revenue bond because they felt it would 1 adversely impact their property values in the PD? 2 I really don't recall it but I don't doubt 3 4 it. Were you contacted by any persons connected 5 0 with the original Equity Group during this period of 6 time as to what actions, if any, they would like to 7 see the commission take? 8 9 A No. During this period of time, did anybody 10 indicate to you whether or not Hart Wurzburg was 11 having any contact with the Equity Group? 12 No. 13 A Do you recall that on December 12 the 14 commission voted not to go forward with a general 15 revenue bond issue throughout the entire town for 16 purchase of the club facilities? 17 I know the action was taken. I don't recall 18 the date. 19 I think we can agree it was taken on 20 December 12. 21 22 Fine. A 23 Just so you have a reference point. 24 A Okay. 25 0 Do you recall that on that same date Bob

Wilhelm from Arvida requested that the town waive its first right of refusal?

- A I think I do, yeah.
- Q So that his employees would have some present for Christmas and know they would have jobs?
 - A I believe we did so. Isn't that right?
- Q Right. Do you recall Hart Wurzburg voting against doing so?
 - A No.

- Q At that point in time, did you consider that there were any viable options open to the town for the town to exercise its right of first refusal?
- A No. Once the commission had turned down the town buying it, I considered the only option left open was for the Shannon Group to take it.
- Q During this fall throughout this period of time, did you have any unique information about the Shannon Group that indicated to you that they would not operate the club in the manner in which it had essentially been operated over the years or essentially in the manner that Arvida had been operating it?
 - A I had no information either way, no.

 MR. CHASE: Can we clarify something in

defense of the witness here, in fairness to

the witness here? The minutes of the special meeting of December 12, 1989 that I have does not reference Commissioner Stewart's attendance and it may be possible that he was out of town or something but we're working under the premise during the last series of questions that Commissioner Stewart was in attendance at the meetings.

A That's apparently why I say I don't remember any of it.

MR. SYPRETT: It's my recollection that yesterday we observed in the typed transcript he was there and in the minutes of the meeting he wasn't.

MR. CHASE: I thought that was a different commission meeting.

A Usually if there was a mistake like that, the minutes would have been corrected.

Q Let me ask you this question. Do you recall that at that meeting you got sufficiently upset at the vote that was being taken and the direction the meeting was going that you got up and walked out?

- A Which meeting was this?
- 24 O December 12?

A Does it say that?

Q No, that's just recollection from someone who was in attendance.

A I did walk out of a meeting one time but that meeting I think was when Edmundson was the chairman, or the mayor I mean. I don't recall other than once walking out, other than once in my six years.

There had been occasions when the meetings had run on so long that you look over and nod at the mayor and get up and leave because you've got appointments to make or something like that.

Q The transcript of the December -- Okay. On the afternoon -- maybe this will clarify it.

On the afternoon of December 12, 1989 there was a special workshop that started at like 1:00.

Then there was a special meeting scheduled for 4:00.

The live transcript of the meeting -- the live transcript of the special workshop shows you speaking.

A But not at the --

Q Apparently when this was adjourned and the special meeting was thereafter called so that the vote could be taken on the consensus of opinion that had been arrived at in the special workshop, you left and said you would not be back for the meeting. Does that

Well, that could well have happened. I may 1 A 2 have had an appointment. You don't have any recollection? 3 1 I don't remember it, no. The only time I got annoyed and walked out -- I did that once -- I say 5 was years earlier and I think I would have remembered 6 if I was upset. I must have had some good reason. 7 8 It was at the meeting that Bob Wilhelm made the presentation to request the town go ahead and 9 waive its first right of refusal. 10 MR. CHASE: You mean at the meeting or 11 12 workshop? 13 So since you were in attendance at the Q 14 meeting, you don't have any specific recollection? 15 No, I'm saying I don't remember any of this, 15 really. As of that date, at the end of the workshop 17 18 when the consensus of opinion was that the vote was going to be against going forward with the general 19 revenue bond, was it your opinion at that time that 20 21 the town had no feasible way to exercise its first right of refusal between that date and December 26 22 23 when it would expire? 24 Right, yes. A

You didn't cast a vote because you left.

25

would like to show you a letter by Mr. Christiansen to 1 2 Mr. Dietz, which has been marked Exhibit Number 40, and ask you if you would read it, please, sir. 3 1 you completed reading Exhibit Number 40? 5 A Yes. 6 Did you authorize the town attorney to send that letter? MR. CHASE: Object to the form of the 8 question to the extent it requires such 9 authorization. 10 11 A Ma? 12 Yes. O 13 You are suggesting that me personally -that I personally by myself? 14 15 Yes, sir. 0 I can't imagine it. 16 A 17 0 Okay. No, you didn't? Well, I just thought you must have some 18 reason to think so. 19 I'm just trying to find out who did and you 20 are the third person I have eliminated if you say no. 21 I think that there -- whether it was a 22 workshop or whatnot, I believe this was discussed at a 23

general consensus at the meeting, the attorney would

meeting and as so often occurred, if there was a

24

be instructed and would proceed. That's what I think happened.

- Q If you know, I would like for you to tell me. If you don't recall one way or the other, I would rather you just simply tell me. First off, did you individually authorize the attorney to send this?
 - A No.

A

- Q Did you request Al Cox to have the attorney send it on this date?
 - A No.
- Q Do you have specific recollection of a meeting on or about November 30, 1989 at which this was discussed and this was authorized to be done?
- A I do not know the date but I know that we got the Van Voris letter and we discussed it and to me, that was exactly what we said to do. That's my recollection. Now, what day we did it or how we did it, I don't know.
 - Q You got the Van Voris letter?
- A Wasn't there a Van Voris letter mentioned here? Well, anyway, there was a letter from Van Voris that gave the rationale for this. Van Voris' letter recommended that we do this and we discussed the Van Voris letter at a meeting and then Scott proceeded to do it.

Q The reason I asked you the question is because I have reviewed the minutes of the meetings around this point in time and this subject matter is not discussed in any of the meetings immediately preceding this date.

It says that this letter was written -- in the first paragraph it says quote; "in reviewing the August 28 agreement, the Town of Longboat Key has noted that under the provisions of Section 30."

That agreement was reviewed the first week or the second week in September, 1989. What I'm looking for is who precipitated the mailing of this letter on this date? Do you know?

MR. CHASE: I have no objection to the question but I do have objections to the attorney's characterization that he has reviewed the records and/or minutes surrounding the date of that exhibit and found no reference as to this letter or authorization of this letter or the topic or subject matter of this letter. My concern is I don't know whether that's factual or not, with all due respect to counsel.

Q If there was no conversation reflected in the minutes of any meetings preceding this date, do

you know who authorized it?

A I do not know but I believe that it was the commission as a whole that authorized it and it may have been in July, August. I don't know how much earlier, because I remember a letter from Van Voris or perhaps -- maybe it wasn't a letter. Maybe Van Voris came down and spoke to us. I don't really remember.

Q Do you recall that that may very well have happened either in March or April of 1990 preceding the filing of a lawsuit over this tee time issue?

A I don't know.

Q Okay. So if it does not appear in the minutes of any meeting that the commission through a consensus of opinion authorized the mailing of this letter on this particular date of November 30, 1989, then you don't know who precipitated the sending of it on that date?

A No, I don't.

Q Okay. Do you recall seeing the ad that's been marked Exhibit 2A in the newspaper on April the 5th, 1990 in the Longboat Observer?

A I don't remember the ad but I remember this financial support part. I guess I must have seen the ad.

Q Did you go to that meeting at the Holiday

Inn? 1 2 A No. Q Did you send them the financial support 3 requested? 4 A I sent in a check to a trust fund. I don't 5 remember how much it was but I sent a check to them. 6 Q They asked for \$250.00. Is that what your 7 check was for? 8 I don't know. It could have been. I just 9 don't remember the amount. 10 Q Did you attend the meeting at which these 11 matters were discussed? 12 No, no, I didn't. I never go to those 13 A 14 things. 15 Why did you send in a check? 0 As a property owner. 16 A 17 Did you send in a check based upon what you 0 read in the newspaper here in this article? 18 I don't know. Frankly, I really don't. Let 19 A me read this and see if I can remember it. 20 21 0 Sure. 22 Yes. I think it was -- what this says -- I 23 think it was -- it was based on what I just read. 24 Again that rings a bell once I read it. 25 Q Did you look at the names of the people

across the bottom?

1

2

3

5

5

7

8

S

10

11

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A I just did.
- Q Did any of those persons contact you and discuss the contents of this notice with you?
 - A No.
- Q Did any of those persons solicit the funds from you personally?
 - A No.
- Q You sent the funds in based upon what you read on this?
- A Yes, and I never heard anything further.
- 12 Q Have you contributed to them only the one
 13 time?
 - A Once, once only, yeah.
- Do you have any knowledge as to what the status of that lawsuit is right now?
 - A No, no. Then I followed it in the newspaper reports and once again, they were taking a tack that I thought was not going to work.
 - Q Why did you contribute to it?
 - A Well, as a property owner -- I was no longer a commissioner. I was a property owner. I would like to protect my property and know under what conditions Shannon is going to operate. Are they going to comply with my version that I've given here today of the

rules, and we weren't able to get an answer otherwise. 1 We who? 2 0 We property owners. 3 A Did you ever contact anybody at Shannon 4 Group to learn from them what they intended to do with 5 the operation of the club? 6 No. 7 A Did you ever contact them while you were 8 Q sitting as a commissioner to learn that? 9 10 A No. At any point in time before contributing to 11 this group, did you ever contact them and make that 12 13 inquiry? 14 A No. Upon what did you base any belief that they 15 would operate the club significantly or substantially 16 17 differently than Arvida had? Well, just what it says there. When you 18 19 invest \$23,000,000.00, you're supposedly going to try and make a profit out of it and pay back your 20 21 23,000,000. Arvida had no 23,000,000. I put in one of 22 memos that they even paid cash, Arvida, for all the 23 facilities they put in. When they would put nine more 24

holes, they would write it off that year. They didn't

have any problem of a capital investment or a debt.

Now, someone comes along and has a big debt.

They are going to have to change things. That's what this says and that's what I believed as a property owner.

Q And were you of the opinion they did or didn't have the right to do that as the new owner of the club?

A I believed in my own mind what I believed even when I was a commissioner, that the resolutions were the zoning laws. They were attached to the title deeds and they had to be complied with.

Q Was there anything in the resolutions that prevented Arvida from selling the property?

A No.

Q Was there anything in the resolutions that dictated the price at which Arvida had to sell it?

A Not dictated it.

Q Was there anything in the resolutions that limited the price which they could offer that property for?

A I believe that the resolution stating that it was not to be primarily commercial leaves doubt that paying that much money will comply with that provision of the resolution. That's my personal view.

- - A Uh-huh.

- Q You have to give me a verbal answer for her. Yes or no.
 - A Yes, yes.
- Q At the time you contributed you were just a private citizen then?
- A I didn't remember the date until I looked at this but obviously I was because that is -- that says April.
- Q After leaving office have you had any conversations with any of these persons that formed a Course and Land Society --
 - A No.
- Q -- Concerning how that organization came about?
 - I don't remember when, a handwritten note that I thought they should be filing for property owners not for members if they were going to do anything at all. That's the only thing that ever happened, to my old student. I told you that earlier.
 - Q Were you aware of the fact when you sent your money in that the purpose of this meeting was to

solicit funds to file suit before the scheduled closing of April 30, 1990?

A I don't think it says that in there; does it?

Q I don't know whether it says it in here or not but were you aware of --

A I knew nothing except what you find on that piece of paper.

Q As a banker --

A I didn't talk to anybody.

Q As a banker, were you aware of the fact that the filing of a lawsuit before the closing could have an impact upon the ability of the parties to go forward with the closing and the lender to participate?

A First of all, I did not know about the lawsuit and having no knowledge of it, I gave no consideration to that and I didn't know it was going to happen. What I read there is they were going to try to define what Shannon's obligations were and enforce them.

Q How did you think that meant they were going to try to define it?

A Continue with what Van Voris had been doing.

O What?

7 7

3 1

Defining what the resolutions meant and that 1 required going to court if it couldn't be done by 2 3 agreement. See, those memorandum of understandings, 1 which again I didn't think were very good, they were 5 done by discussing with Arvida, and then they'd say, 6 okay, we understand one, two, three, four, five. I 7 thought it was possible that that might be done and if that didn't, I'm sure I would have thought it would be 9 10 legal but I didn't know about any dates. When did you learn that the Course and Land 11 Society intended to file suit against Arvida before 12 the closing? 13 1.4 I don't remember that I ever knew that. I have no recollection of that. 15 16 Did you ever talk to any persons who went to 17 the meeting --18 Mo. 19 Q -- That you did not go to? 20 No. A You knew absolutely nothing about what they Q 21 22 were going to do other than what's contained in this 23 ad? 24 Yes. A

And you sent them \$250.00?

25

Q

1 A Yes. 2 To do that, whatever it was? 3 A something happen. 4 5 Q 6 7 8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Peanuts, pretty cheap, if that would make
- They made something happen.
- Well, I meant something that they say in here. I couldn't get anywhere when I was on the commission getting things defined. So I thought maybe this would do it.
- Did you recognize that the Course and Land Society Organizing Committee were made up of the same people or had the same people in it that had been in the Equity Group for purposes of forming the not-for-profit corporation?
 - Jim Biron is the same.
- Bob Hulderman, Jim Biron, Willingham Smith? Did you notice that they were the same people?
- Well, I certainly knew that Biron was. 2 didn't pay much attention to the others but there is no question I knew Biron was the same.
- Have you had any conversation with any of those persons concerning whether or not during the fall of 1989 they had any contact with Hart Wurzburg or any other commissioners concerning that commissioner's disposition to support their positions?

A No, and the few that I did know, Harry 1 Nitzberg and Willingham Smith, and -- well, those two 2 anyway -- they live fairly close by. They were very 3 annoyed at my stand about the equity. So we didn't 1 really meet and talk at all. I never saw the guys 5 except at a distance. I've been tossing one little 6 bit of interest. Off the record. 7 MR. SYPRETT: You can go off the record. A 9 (A discussion was held off the record.) MR. SYPRETT: No other questions. 10 HR. HARLLEE: I've got a couple. 7 7 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. HARLLEE: Q Mr. Stewart, I'm John Harllee and I 14 represent Edwin J. Biron, Jim Biron and Robert 15 16 O'Brien, Bob O'Brien and Henry H. Mitzberg, Harry 17 Mitzberg and George S. Heller in this lawsuit. Do you know George S. Heller? 18 19 No. A You never met him? 20 0 I certainly didn't notice him. 21 A 22 To your knowledge, have you ever had any communications from Mr. Heller about anything? 23 24 No.

Do you know Robert O'Brien?

25

4	A 170 •
2	Q Have you ever had any communications from
3	Mr. O'Brien?
4	A No.
5	Q Okay. I believe you testified that you know
6	Mr. Biron and Mr. Nitzberg?
7	A Yeah. I've only said hello to Nitzberg but
S	I've talked a lot to Biron because he was in my class.
9	Q So have you ever had any communications
10	about the issues that involved the Equity Group or the
11	Longboat Key Club during the latter part of 1989 and
13	early 1990 with Mr. Nitzberg?
13	A Nitzberg, no.
14	Q And if I understand it, outside of town
15	commission workshops or actual meetings, you haven't
16	had any communications from Mr. Biron; is that
17	correct?
18	A Well, the one thing I did say was I sent a
19	note to him at one time from what I saw in the paper.
20	Q But you didn't have any from him to you?
21	A No.
22	Q All right. Now, earlier you had testified
23	something that the town was considering and there were
24	a number of options on the table with regard to the
25	Longboat Key Club after Arvida gave its notice in

August of '89. Could you just go through and summarize each one of those options as you remember them?

A Well, the first one was a recreational district which is provided for under the Florida statutes. You don't need details about that.

Q Just generally tell me.

A It would be owned by the club if they bought it. The club would be owned by the property owners in the two PUDs, one either jointly or the two separately. The financing would be done by revenue bonds and the last recourse would be to the owners, to the property owners, so there would be a secondary liability there.

In order to issue such bonds there has to be a minimal public purpose established and so, therefore, there would have to be some kind of provision such as late afternoon play for people who lived on the key. It wouldn't be that they would have the same rights but otherwise, you couldn't get your bond certified.

- Q Okay. That was the option that you favored?
- A Yes. The second option then was -- that I did not consider an option but the town thought it was an option, the members thought so, was an equity club

that current members -- this was first suggested by Mr. Wilhelm at a meeting -- that current members would have shares in the club. They would issue shares. They would then have to sell those shares, at least in this one they proposed, sell them back to the Equity Group and the group could reissue them to new people. No one could come in if there were no shares available in the kitty.

Then there was an attempt to have a second -- what I call a second class membership that they would not have all the rights, would not participate in the ownership in any way, wouldn't be able to play quite the same, and that to me was in violation of their resolutions. The third way was for the town --

- Q Excuse me. Let me interrupt before you go to the third one now. When you say Mr. Wilhelm, you are speaking of Mr. Robert Wilhelm?
- A Of Arvida. He said a number of times that he hoped that what he really wanted to happen when Arvida left was the members would buy the club. That's what he really hoped would happen.
- Q All right, sir. What's the third option?

 A The third one was for the town itself to buy it as a public golf course and then you really would have to have more of a public purpose behind it in

order to finance it with town funds, town borrowings.

On the other hand, it would not have to be open to people from the mainland. You could have restrictions. So that was a possibility, and the fourth one, of course, was for Shannon Group to buy it.

- Q All right, sir. Now, I believe you mentioned that there was some reason to move fairly rapidly because there was a time limitation?
 - A Right.

Q Could you explain what your understanding was about this time limitation?

A The 120 days was -- I think that was in the resolutions. The right of first refusal would expire if the town did not take that right within 120 days.

Well, the problem that, of course, developed on any of these first three options, there would have to be financing and with the first two of them that I mentioned, it would have to be government bond financing where you have to go to court and an awful lot of time is necessary.

The equity financing might not have taken as long because that could be done privately. So it was primarily the financing, although it got complicated because we couldn't get the commission to gel a

consensus for any of these options. 1 2 That's the political process, though; is 3 that correct? Yeah, and we never managed that process. We A ended up taking option four. 5 Which was the closing with the Shannon Group 5 7 Yeah. 8 A 9 -- With Arvida? When you speak of all these 10 other three options, were you talking about both Harbourside and Islandside? 11 Well, there was modifications at certain 12 A 13 times of buying one or the other but in my mind it was 14 buying both. 15 All right, sir. Do you know -- after the first three options that you described were exhausted, 16 17 do you know of any events by anyone, either my clients 1.8 or the Town of Longboat Key or any other people to prevent the Shannon Group from closing on the 19 20 transaction with Arvida? 21 No, I've never heard of any but I can't imagine why. There would be no profit in it. Why do 22 23 it.

you to Exhibit 51 again, which is the October 31, 1989

All right. Now, I would like to reference

24

25

letter from Mr. Wurzburg to Mr. Van Voris, and specifically page 2, the first paragraph.

I believe when Mr. Syprett was asking you some questions, he was trying to tie the next to the last paragraph on page 2 with the different options, but is the first paragraph consistent with what your understanding was that the town or whoever was going to work within the existing resolutions?

That's my understanding. I had never seen this letter before but now that I've read it, that's the way I would understand it; that they thought they could comply with 80-20. All this has to do with the resolutions, that they could comply. I've also told you gratuitously, which I shouldn't do I guess, my own view was that they could never really take care of that availability of recreation.

Q But at least in your presence and at any meetings that you ever attended, there was never any discussion about modification of these two resolutions; was there?

- A No, never.
- Q Okay.

R

A The only thing that ever came up prior to that were these memorandums of understanding which did not modify the resolutions.

Q Now, you also mentioned something about the Shannon Group had to make money because they had \$23,000,000.00 invested. What did you mean by that?

A Well, the question I think at the time was, would things have to change because of the new ownership against what Arvida did and my point was that Arvida's investment was very minor. Their capital investment was already zilch because they wrote off everything. I'm talking about accounting records.

So they had to get a return on capital.

Most businesses try to do that. They didn't have much capital there. They rented all of the equipment.

They owned some buildings and for tax purposes, their tax assessment was very low but once you take the value from Arvida today to Shannon today, the 23,000,000, from say 2,000,000 to 23 or something, you've got to change something. You've either got to get a lot more members or you've got to charge a lot more or do something in order to pay off.

Q Was that a concern of the town commission when it was investigating the first three options that you listed?

- A How do you mean that?
- O The fact that the Shannon Group would be

coming in and paying 23,000,000 would necessarily increase the costs or have more members, et cetera? Was that a concern of the town commission when it was investigating those first three options that you gave us?

A Oh, yeah because a lot of times at these meetings it was said that if the recreational district or the town owned it or even if there could be an equity club, any of those three, it would not be to maximize profits. They just merely would have to take care of the costs involved. The profit element would disappear.

Q So in theory I suppose then it would make the club more financially accessible to people than it would be the other way?

A Right.

C

MR. HARLLEE: I don't have any other questions.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SYPRETT:

Q Okay. He just asked you a question if Shannon bought it, it would be less financially accessible to the people than if the Equity Group bought it and you said yes, that's right?

MR. HARLLEE: No, I didn't ask that.

Q Mr. Harllee just asked you the question that 1 if the club sold under either one of the first three 2 options that you gave; that is, the rec. district, the 3 general bond revenue from the town or to the equity A club, that it would be more financially accessible to 5 the members of the town than if Shannon bought it. Is 6 that what you agreed with? 7 Yeah, and I thought I tried to put it in --8 Let me ask you some specific questions. 9 10 the Equity Group buys it, they're paying \$23,000,000.00 just like Shannon; are they not? 11 But they don't have to add a profit on top. 12 That's what I wanted to say. That's my understanding. 13 14 There's a difference. 15 Had the Equity Group committed not to add any profit on top? 16 Equity groups are going to be taxed if they 17 do and I don't think they were out to do that. 18 19 How many dollars are you thinking about or 0 talking in terms of if that were to occur? 20 21 Well, I do not know what the normal profit margin is on a golf course but if it's 15, 20, 30, 40 22 23 percent, whatever it is, that is higher if it is run for profit, more costly. 24

And that in some manner breaks down amongst

all the members of the club; is that right? 1 The breaks down because all of the income A comes from members of the club, yeah. 3 And if Shannon owns it, it would break down 4 not only amongst the members of the club but the other 5 commercial aspects of play involving the 6 Inn-On-The-Beach quests; is that true? MR. CHASE: Object to the form of the 8 question, improper predicate. 9 If you know. 10 0 I would say the Inn-On-The-Beach quests I 11 12 think is peanuts. I don't think that's large at all. Do you know that for a fact? 13 0 14 13 That's my assumption. Or your assumption? 15 0 16 Out of general knowledge. A If Shannon buys the club, the people who are 17 already members would not have to pay any new 18 initiation fee. They would simply continue their 19 membership by paying the annual dues; is that correct? 20 21 I didn't know what they were doing. Did you have any reason to believe that it 22 23 would be anything other than that during the spring of 1990 or the fall of 1989? 24 25 I made the assumption -- the reason I sent

that check in, as I told you, is that they were going to have to somewhere on their P & L accounts increase revenues and I assumed that that meant they were going to probably increase the annual fee and perhaps considerably.

Q No, sir. That wasn't my question. Did you understand or have any reason to believe that the people who were already members were going to have to pay a new initiation fee --

A Not new.

Q -- To this club to join?

A No.

Q If the Equity Group bought it, everybody who was a member who wanted to become an equity member was going to have to pay at least \$18,000.00 to do so; wouldn't they?

A That would be an investment that would increase in value and they would do it willingly.

O How does that increase?

A I don't think the equity club member would have gone through, anyway.

2 If it did go through, they were going to have to pay \$18,000.00; were they not?

A Yeah, and apparently they signed up and were happy to do it.

Q I am not asking whether they were happy to do it or not. We are talking about whether it was going to be more financially accessible to these people if the Equity Group bought it than if Shannon Group bought it. If the Equity Group bought it, it's going to cost each one of them \$18,000.00 to maintain their rights to play?

A But the Equity Group also would allow this other kind of membership where they would not be charging all that.

Q And that other kind of membership was going to be restricted so that they couldn't play on Harbourside unless they lived in Harbourside; is that correct?

A I think that was what we saw there.

Q And in fact, now those members can play on either side without paying any new initiation fee, isn't that correct, under Shannon?

A But under the equity where they played had nothing to do with the finances. The finances would still be less. I think my answer was correct technically.

Ω What you are telling me is that you assumed
that they would be paying more because Shannon would
be making a profit because they are a private

enterprise --

A

1 15

A Yes.

Q -- For annual dues? These same members, however, would not be paying the front end \$18,000.00; is that correct?

A The secondary members' limited membership under equity would not be paying that, right.

Q And you make the assumption that the Equity Group would be able to manage the club and set up the management so that it would run as efficiency as the Shannon Group would be able to do so, that that would be the same for both of them?

A They said they would be hiring one of the big national firms that manages golf clubs. So I would assume that.

- Q You are looking at --
- A I'm still quessing equity.
- 2 You are looking at the investment being the same. You are looking at the expenses of operation being the same. Your only concern lay in the issue of profit margin?

A Right, and that's why in my memos I kept bringing it up, not primarily commercial.

Q Was there a direct monetary advantage in your opinion to the people in support of the Equity

Group if they were able to acquire this club? 1 I'm sure that they thought so, that the 2 equity shares would go up in value. 3 You just told me a few minutes ago in your a opinion they would go up in value? 5 Yeah. 5 A I thought I heard you say that. I just said that now; didn't I? R A Yes. Well, you said in their opinion, they 0 0 would go up in value. That was also your opinion; TO wasn't it? 11 Yeah. If they hold them long enough with 12 inflation the way the government is run in Washington, 13 it's bound to. 14 You were asked the question whether or not 15 16 the Equity Group, to your knowledge, continued to try to acquire the club after the town commission voted 17 18 not to assign its right. MR. HARLLEE: I didn't ask that question. 19 MR. SYPRETT: Do you want to tell me what 20 your question was along those lines? 21 MR. HARLLEE: I asked if he was aware of 22 any efforts by the Equity Group to prevent the 23 closing of the transaction. 24 There's no profit to it. Why would they? 25

Q Okay. If it was financially to their 1 advantage to buy it if they could get an assignment of 2 the first right of refusal, why would it not be to 3 their advantage to buy it if they could buy it from Shannon or anybody else? 5 They couldn't get the right of first 5 A refusal. That was gone. 7 8 They could go direct to Shannon. Was there 0 any reason why they wouldn't be able to buy it from

Shannon if they talked Shannon into selling it?

A No.

9

10

7 7

12

13

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Would there be any reason why it wouldn't be 0 to their advantage to buy it if they could create a circumstance under which Shannon was willing to sell it to get out from under the circumstance?

Well, that gets into such conjecture, it is hard for me to believe that that could happen. If vou are writing a novel, yes, it could happen.

0 Tell me why then the filing of the lawsuit by this Equity Group that became known as the Course and Land Society before the closing date was such a significant factor?

MR. CHASE: Object to the form of the question. This witness said as far as he was concerned it was not a significant factor and he had no idea as to whether the lawsuit was filed before or after and it never entered his mind. I don't know how he is going to answer that question.

A I wasn't going to use those words but I would say the same thing again. I don't know how that could be answered.

Q Did you share the view of some of the other commissioners that the potential enhancement and increase in value of this course over the next 10 to 20 years could be double what was being paid for it?

A No, I didn't have any figure in mind. All I was concerned about was protecting the property owners so that they didn't lose in value. If there was not assured availability then the property owners were shafted right away.

Q Do you know what was motivating this Equity Group in their desire to purchase?

A They wanted a nice, little, exclusive club, limited membership. I heard -- well, I mentioned earlier Chuck Brown. He said that at the meetings basically.

Q They essentially wanted to play on Harbourside without having it open to other people on Longboat Key?

That's right. Nobody could come there 1 A except their friends, and I didn't mention this 2 earlier but because it did not succeed. 3 Ex-commissioner Brown sold his house and moved away. 5 Chuck Brown? 0 6 Yeah, and found another place that had a golf course that he could put up with. Some people 7 8 take these things very seriously. Do you recall when the town was talking 9 10 about the bond issue, the general revenue bond issue at the tail end there? 11 12 Uh-huh. A Do you recall that the general revenue bond 13 14 was going to be for \$29,000,000.00 rather than 15 \$22,000,000.00? First of all, I think it was a general 16 obligation not revenue. I don't remember the amount. 17 3 [Do you recall the issue was going to be 19 29,000,000 rather than 22,000,000? 20 No, I don't recall that at all. I don't 21 know why that would be. There must have been some 22 reason if that was so but I don't know what it was. It's in the minutes of one of the meetings. 23 Does it ring a bell for you that the bond issue that 24

we are talking about had to be, I think it was at

least \$6,000,000.00 higher than the cost of the course 1 2 to create a reserve fund as a part of the bonding requirement? 3 No. I don't remember that but okay. I would 13 have argued that if it had gone through. The general 5 obligation from Longboat Key should rate pretty high 6 without that. 7 O But you do realize what was being discussed 3 there was simply a bond secured by the revenue for the 0 course and not the general taxing power of the town? 10 Well, that's what I said. I thought it was 11 12 a general obligation. I didn't know anything about it being a revenue for the town to buy it. The 13 recreation district would be a revenue bond. 14 MR. SYPRETT: No other questions. 15 16 Thank you, sir. (WHEREUPON, the right to read and sign 17 was waived.) 18 19 (WHEREUPON, this deposition concluded 20 at 5:00 p.m.) 21 22 23 24

STATE OF FLORIDA)

2 COUNTY OF SARASOTA)

I, DEBRA L. FINEHOUT, Court Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida at Large,

deposition of CARLETON M. STEWART was taken before me at the time and place set forth in the caption thereof; that the deponent therein was by me duly sworn on oath, by stipulation of all attorneys, to testify the truth; that the proceedings of said deposition were stenographically reported by me; and that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 124, inclusive, constitute a true and correct transcription of said proceedings as had.

or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor do I have any interest in the outcome or events of the action.

I further certify that the original and one copy has been delivered on this 13th day of March, 1992, to Jim D. Syprett, Esquire, of Syprett, Meshad, Resnick & Lieb, 1390 Main Street, Suite 1100, Sarasota, Florida 34236 and a copy has been sent this same day to Attorney Chase.

BE IT FURTHER ADVISED THAT AFTER DELIVERY OF SAID ORIGINAL, ANY CHANGES, ALTERATIONS OR ADDITIONS MADE THERETO ARE NOT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THIS REPORTER. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature and seal of office this 11th day of March, 1992, at Sarasota, Sarasota County, Plorida. DEBRA L. FINEHOUT, Notary Public State of Florida at Large My commission expires April 30, 1994 NOTARY PUBLIC. STATE OF FLORIDA. MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: APRIL 30, 1994. BONDED THRU NOTARY PUBLIC UNDERWRITERS.

GENERAL: To be advised as to the absolute and the probable rights of the Town under the applicable resolutions.

SPECIFIC TOWN OBJECTIVES:

1. Club facilities to be for benefit of GPD and PD residents (80%) and other LBK residents (20%).

2. Assure continued adequacy and availability of club facilitie:

for PUD owners and residents.

3. Property owners and residents of the GPD, PD and others in LBK to have vested rights for priority application for membership.

4. All development rights having been switched to beachfront property and other property available for development,

club land to remain as private open space.

5. Clubs not to be operated primarily as commercial enterprises. (Not to operate at a deficit but not to operate to maximize income and profit for owner.)

6. Clubs not to be open to the general public or any segment

of the general public.

7. Clubs to be operated as private membership clubs. 8. Club rules to be appropriate as judged by the Town.

9. Non-members to use club facilities only as guests of members

10. Separate the clubs as provided in the two resolutions. (Timing at Town discretion?)

11. Establish a means of enforcing the terms of the resolutions, particularly membership lists, waiting lists, preferences in starting times and use of tennis and golf facilities, etc.

12. Other objectives that may arise during a review by counsel.

Exceptions to the above have and are permitted until the clubs are operating economically to full capacity as requested in Arvida's letter of July 22, 1980 specified by the P & Z Board as a basis for favorable recommendation to the Commission. Having received ample consideration by density shifting and development credit for recreational "facilities available to residents" and with the 99 year open space requirement and the restriction on commercial operations, the land <u>per se</u> has no value beyond the capital improvements not yet fully amortized. At the time Resolution 80-21 was being discussed Arvida noted that the restrictions being imposed on its title to club faciliti were the equivalent of a conveyance of land.

In view of his experience in this field of law and his participation in the original resolution discussions, the legal views of Mr. Morway Picket' should be given careful consideration

Encl. - Extrect P. 7 1/27/07

CC FULL DISTRIBUTION 8/29/89

EXHIBIT 2/25/92 57

above - my "deck meno"
written in early 1988.

Matway
8/29/89

The question often arises as to why the LBK Club under the zoning resolutions was to be owned and operated by a corporation. My understanding of the historical events is as follows:

For vital commercial reasons ARVIDA needed permission to build on the beach the maximum number of high rise condo units. To achieve their objective the original negotiating proposal was to shift platted densities to the beach area and to donate club land to the Town (see September 6, 1977 letter).

ARVIDA was concerned that the Club be well managed as part of their marketing plan. They also wanted credit against required recreation facilities at each building site.

The Town wanted the previously platted land to remain "private" open space, i.e. no development permitted. Secondly, the Town wanted continued, assured availability of recreational facilities for owners and residents of the PUDs.

Agreement was reached that ARVIDA would own and operate the Club(s) with members from the GPD originally plus 20% as an allocation for other LBK residents, at that time largely current members from Country Club Shores. ARVIDA forcast operating losses for the initial years and asked approval for off-key memberships. This was approved with the requirement that the club not be primarily commercial - that is, break-even or modestly profitable.* ARVIDA bookkeeping was on a cash basis so that there were no deferred capital improvements ever to be amortized during the period of their ownership.

I presume that the golf course land was not deeded to the Town in order to avoid the operating responsibilities and the <u>public purpose</u> complications that would arise. Mr. Morway Pickett and Mr. Albert Faulhaber could confirm or clarify.

CMS 119/91

* LBK Zoning Code 158.006: "COMMERCIAL USE" An activity involving the purchase and sale or exchange of goods, commodities or services carried out primarily for the purpose of gaining a profit.

cc Mr. David P. Perrson Mr. Albert L. Green



cc Stew Fill

Memorandum:

LBK Club (s)

Exhibit A to Resolution 88-01 (and 88-02) states that the Town does not believe that the 1979/80/81 resolutions permit the accomplishment of an equity conversion.

On January 26, 1988 the Commission informed each member of the LBK Club as follows:

"The Town Commission believes the equity conversion of either club facility would violate both the wording and spirit of Resolution 80-21 and 81-13....

...an equity conversion of the club facilities would raise serious questions regarding the assured availability of recreational facilities to PUD residents, which the Town Commission relied on when it authorized 50% reductions in the scope of recreational amenities constructed at each of the condominium facilities within the PUD's....

...the Commission has included a provision in the new right of first refusal which requires JMB to notify the Town at least sixty (60) days before initiating an equity conversion to allow the Commission sufficient time to initiate litigation to contest JMB's right to do so...."

On October 16, 1989 the representative of the equity conversion group stated that a share member could assure the buyer of his PUD property that club membership could be attached to the property in preference to any other PUD resident on a waiting list. This conformed to his circular letter of October 11, 1989 stating: "The structure of the club would be similar to the equity clubs most of us are familar with." The Town Attorney expressed disagreement stating "the Town must mold whatever it did to fit the resolutions.

There is no documentary evidence that an equity club could or would comply with the resolutions. Claims for share-holder rights such as the one above lead to the conclusion that a change in the resolutions is expected.

C.M. Stewart Commissioner

cc Commissioners
Full distribution



SPECIAL MEETING - 8 NOVEMBER 1989

As late as January of last year five of the present commissioners informed club members and town residents that "equity conversion of either club facility would violate both the wording and the spirit of Resolutions 80-21 and 81-13." Arvida, club members, developers and the public relied on that statement when making property investment decisions.

We are now reversing and propose assuring a court that the terms of this equity conversion honors the resolution requirement that property owners in the PUDs have an assured availability of recreational facilities. Special Counsel has said the proposed terms seem to comply but that only a court could make a determination.

The intent of the Town for many, many years has been that such facilities be available at a reasonable cost, the club not being operated primarily for profit. However a new factor has been added. These recreational facilities will only be available if the property owner becomes an investor. It is like a tie-in sale, you only keep your rights if you buy something else. This may be found by a court to be legal, but there is no doubt in my mind but that the spirit of the resolutions is being violated.

The same resolutions gave grandfather rights to over a thousand golf, tennis and social members who do not live in the PUDs. While Special Counsel suggested that residence on the key indicated financial capability to invest in an equity share, this is not so with some and others have life expection and various personal situations to face. Use restrictions on limited memberships amount to a partial withdrawal of the grandfather rights pledged in the resolutions. This may be legal technically, but the spirit of the commitment is broken.

There has been comment from commissioners regarding personal benefit to be gained by equity conversion. I add my personal view: an equity conversion as proposed would most likely add value to my property. Converting only the Harbourside facilities would probably add even more. So it would also be to my personal benefit to support a voluntary assignment of first refusal rights.

However, my personal standards require me when holding the office of town commissioner to vote against such action.



(C.M.Stewart)